PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

Name of Facility: Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Facility Type: Community Confinement Date Interim Report Submitted: NA Date Final Report Submitted: 08/05/2023

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Jack Fitzgerald	Date of Signature: 08/05/ 2023

AUDITOR INFORMATION		
Auditor name:	Fitzgerald, Jack	
Email:	jffitzgerald@snet.net	
Start Date of On- Site Audit:	06/20/2023	
End Date of On-Site Audit:	06/21/2023	

FACILITY INFORMATION		
Facility name:	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program	
Facility physical address:	384 Eskimo Hill Road, Stafford, Virginia - 22554	
Facility mailing address:		

Primary Contact		
Name:	Joseph Allotey	
Email Address:	joseph.allotey@vadoc.virginia.gov	
Telephone Number:	(804) 625-3372	

Facility Director		
Name:	Paul J. Rice Jr.	
Email Address:	Paul.Rice@vadoc.virginia.gov	
Telephone Number:	540-658-3173	

Facility PREA Compliance Manager

Facility Health Service Administrator On-Site		
Name:	Adenike Ofi	
Email Address:	adenike.ofi@vadoc.virginia.gov	
Telephone Number:	540-658-3181	

Facility Characteristics		
Designed facility capacity:	116	
Current population of facility:	47	
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	36	
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No	
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Males	
Age range of population:	19-70	
Facility security levels/resident custody levels:	Community Corrections	

Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:	41
Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	4
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:	10

AGENCY INFORMATION		
Name of agency:	Virginia Department of Corrections	
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):		
Physical Address:	6900 Atmore Drive, Richmond, Virginia - 23225	
Mailing Address:	P.O. Box 26963, Richmond, Virginia - 23261	
Telephone number:	804-674-3000	

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:		
Name:	Harold Clarke	
Email Address:	Harold.Clarke@vadoc.virginia.gov	
Telephone Number:	804-887-8080	

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Tammy Barbetto	Email Address:	tammy.barbetto@vadoc.virginia.gov

Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of

Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of standards exceeded:		
1	 115.211 - Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator 	
Number of standards met:		
40		
Number of standards not met:		
0		

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION **On-site Audit Dates** 1. Start date of the onsite portion of the 2023-06-20 audit: 2023-06-21 2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit: Outreach 10. Did you attempt to communicate () Yes with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide No services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility? a. Identify the community-based The Auditor has spoken with both local and organization(s) or victim advocates with statewide advocacy organizations. The whom you communicated: Auditor also completed web searches for new stories on the facilities and spoke with representative of local hospitals who might receive victims of sexual abuse for forensic exams. **AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION** 14. Designated facility capacity: 116 15. Average daily population for the past 36 12 months: **16.** Number of inmate/resident/detainee 2 housing units: Yes 17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees? 🔘 No Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
36. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	35
38. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
39. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
40. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
41. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
42. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
43. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0

44. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
45. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
46. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
47. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	The Auditor interviewed over 33% of the population. Because there were limited targeted individuals the auditor increased the random individuals interviewed.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	41
50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	10

51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	7
52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	The auditor spoke with staff and contractors on-site during the audit. The Auditor also spoke with a volunteer by phone as not were on site during the two day audit.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
53. Enter the total number of RANDOM	12

Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	5
53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	12
54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	 Age Race Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)
	 Length of time in the facility Housing assignment Gender Other None
55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	There are only two unit for resident and the auditor was able to use that information as well as information along with information about race and ethnicity from the resident roster to ensure a diverse population. Random number generation was used to select the first 66% of the population interviewed after it was determined there were no targeted individuals in the population.

56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/ resident/detainee interviews?	 Yes No
57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No text provided.
Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
58. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	0
As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/ resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted	

inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/ residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".

60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Deaf or hard-of- hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents. The facility had identified 3 individuals in the past 12 months who reported to be gay or bisexual.

66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.

68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	 Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility did not identify any individuals in the population for this category. The Auditor confirmed this with facility leadership, observation of residents and through conversations with middle management staff and residents.
70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interv	/iews
Random Staff Interviews	
71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	12
72. Select which characteristics you	Length of tenure in the facility
considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	Shift assignment
	Work assignment
	Rank (or equivalent)
	Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)
	None
73. Were you able to conduct the	• Yes
minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	No
74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	As noted above the auditor interviewed individuals in a variety of roles and across shifts to ensure a diversity set of voices were heard from in assessing the safety of the facility and the understanding of the staff members about their training.

Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews

Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.

75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):	11
76. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	 Yes No
77. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	 Yes No
78. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	 Yes No
79. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?	 Yes No NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this	Agency contract administrator		
audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment		
	Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)		
	Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)		
	Medical staff		
	Mental health staff		
	Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches		
	Administrative (human resources) staff		
	Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff		
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations		
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations		
	Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation		
	Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team		
	Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation		
	First responders, both security and non- security staff		
	Intake staff		

	Other
81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/	• Yes
residents/detainees in this facility?	No
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this	Education/programming
audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	Medical/dental
appiy)	Mental health/counseling
	Religious
	Other
82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS	• Yes
who may have contact with inmates/ residents/detainees in this facility?	No
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this	Security/detention
audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	Education/programming
appiy)	Medical/dental
	Food service
	Maintenance/construction
	Other

83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.

Because of the smaller staffing size some individuals were able to answer more than one set of questions. The facility has not had any allegations and the Auditor could not reduce the pool of random staff any further.

SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

Site Review

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

84. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?

\bigcirc	Yes
\bigcirc	No

Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:

85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross- gender viewing and searches)?	 Yes No
86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	 Yes No
87. Informal conversations with inmates/ residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	Yes No

88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	 Yes No
89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).	The auditor was provided full access to the facility, the tour looked at all spaces accessed by staff or residents including spaces outside the security perimeter of the facility. The Auditor was able to see visually camera positions in the institution and later review monitoring screens. The Auditor saw appropriate signage in English and Spanish the two languages spoken by members of the current population. The Auditor tested the outside reporting systems and the resident's ability to access the outside emotional support.

Documentation Sampling

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?	 Yes No
91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).	The Auditor reviewed in the Superintendent's conference room client records for PREA screening documentation and resident education. The Auditor reviewed staff HR and training information. The records were then uploaded to the OAS.

SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate- on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff- on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited. 96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

97. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review	
98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:	0
a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files:	There were no cases in past year

99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross- section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation	files
100. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON- INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON- INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation fil	es
103. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)

105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Select	ed for Review
106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:	There were no cases in the past year.
107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investig	jation files
108. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)

110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigat	ion files
111. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0
112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	 Yes No NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	There were no cases in the past year.

SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION		
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff		
115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre- onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	 Yes No 	
Non-certified Support Staff		
116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre- onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	 Yes No 	
AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND	COMPENSATION	
121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	 The audited facility or its parent agency My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) Other 	

Standards

Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

Auditor Discussion Instructions

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or noncompliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.211	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
	OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct Governing Employees' Relationships with Offenders
	PREA Coordinator and PREA Analyst job descriptions
	PREA Office regional assignments
	Agency-wide flow chart
	Posting of contact information for SMCCAP PREA Compliance Manager at
	SMCCAP Facility Management Chart

Zero Tolerance posters/ notifications

Individuals interviewed/ observations.

Interview with PREA Coordinator

Interview with PREA Regional Analyst

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interview with Director of DOC

Interview with Superintendent

Interview with Staff

Interview with Probationers

Tour Observations

Summary determination.

Indicator (a). The Virginia Department of Correction has developed an agency-wide Policy on efforts to ensure compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act. The agency's policy sets the same sexual safety expectations for a community confinement facility as it does for its maximum-security environments. The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is a 116-bed program for individuals on probation which is under the Department of Corrections in Virginia. Residents are eligible to go work in the community during the average 90-day stays.

Virginia DOC policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) was written to address the various requirements of the standards. The 21-page policy sets forth a zero-tolerance expectation for any sexual activity. Page 6 of the policy states. "The DOC has a Zero Tolerance Policy that strictly prohibits staff, contractor, volunteer, and intern fraternization and sexual misconduct with inmates and probationers/ parolees, or between inmates and CCAP probationers/parolees. The DOC actively works to prevent, detect, report, and respond to any violation." The policy goes to describe prohibited behaviors. "The DOC prohibits any behavior of a sexual nature such as abuse, assault, harassment, misconduct between staff, contractors, volunteers, or interns and inmates or CCAP probationers/parolees, regardless of consensual status." Policy language also specifies inmate conduct and consequences when it states the following. "DOC has zero tolerance for inmate-oninmate and CCAP probationer/parolee-on-CCAP probationer/parolee sexual harassment, assault, or abuse.

a. Sexual harassment, assault, and abuse by inmates and CCAP probationers/ parolees is prohibited and subject to disciplinary action and may, result in criminal charges; see Operating Procedure 861.1, Offender Discipline, Institutions, and Operating Procedure 940.4, Community Corrections Alternative Program.

b. Consensual sexual activity among inmates or CCAP probationers/parolees is prohibited. Inmates and CCAP probationers/parolees who engage in this type of activity will be subject to disciplinary action; see Operating Procedure 861.1 Offender Discipline, Institutions, and Operating Procedure 940.4, Community Corrections Alternative Program."

The policy sets forth the requirements of agency administrators and facility administrators to ensure PREA compliance. Several pages cover different aspects of the Virginia DOC prevention efforts. Pages 8-9 of OP 038.3 covers the detection efforts, while pages 10-12 cover responding to sexual harassment or sexual abuse issues. Policy OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct Governing Employees' Relationships with Offenders further states the Virginia DOC's zero-tolerance position toward sexual misconduct. This policy expands the department's explanation of prohibited individuals in which staff, contractors or volunteers may have a relationship. "Any behavior of a sexual nature between employees, contract employees, or volunteers and offenders, offender's immediate family, or a close friend of the offender is prohibited. Behavior of a sexual nature includes sexual abuse, sexual assault, sexual harassment, physical conduct of a sexual nature, sexual obscenity, and conversations or correspondence of an emotional, romantic, or intimate nature." The Stafford Men's CCAP staff showed knowledge consistent with training materials about their role in preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual assault claims. Also, posters throughout the facility remind probationers and staff of the Zero Tolerance expectation. Random Probationers reported they believed the staff support a zero-tolerance environment free from sexual abuse or harassment. "PREA is not something they report worry about".

Indicator (b). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is one of 41 Adult Correctional facilities run by the Virginia Department of Corrections. PREA policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) defines the role of the PREA Coordinator (pages 3) and states the PREA/ADA Supervisor will serve in this capacity. The policy establishes the PREA Coordinator's "authority to develop, implement, and oversee DOC efforts to comply with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) National Standards in all DOC facilities." Supporting documents show the PREA Coordinator assignment and the role within the agency organizational chart. The PREA Coordinator is supported by a staff of PREA Analysts who cover three regions and field statewide calls from the PREA hotline. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator confirm she has sufficient time and access to crucial correctional administrators, including the Director of the Department of Corrections, to influence policy and resources to ensure PREA safe environments in the Virginia DOC system. The PREA Analyst working for the PREA Coordinator ensures that facilities maintain compliance through regular monitoring visits and provide technical assistance and training. The PREA Regional Analyst supported the facility staff during the Audit.

Though not required in the community confinement standards, the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has assigned its Senior Probation Officer serve as the PREA Compliance Manager. The Virginia OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) defines the role of the PREA Compliance Manager. The policy requires the Superintendent to assign an individual to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with PREA. The Policy states the responsibility within the facility to coordinate the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to allegations of sexual misconduct. The Auditor was provided a facility organizational chart showing the leadership role of the PREA Compliance Manager role in SMCCAP leadership. Supporting documentation also includes a memo from the state's original PREA Coordinator defining the roles and expectations of a PREA Compliance Manager. The Auditor was able to recognize a cooperative relationship exists between the facility and the PREA Office staff.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections has policies that define the steps taken to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. The policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct Governing Employees Relationships with Offenders define the Zero Tolerance expectation. The policies define the roles of the state PREA Coordinator and the facility PREA Compliance Manager as well as prohibited behaviors for all staff, volunteers, contractors, and Probationers. Interviews with the Agency PREA Coordinator and facility PREA Compliance Manager confirm their roles in maintaining PREA compliance. Both individuals believe they have the capacity in their jobs to advocate for a policy or procedural changes needed to support Probationer safety. Interviews with the Director of the Department of Corrections and the SMCCAP Superintendent support compliance with all standard expectations. Policies reviewed by the Auditor describe in-depth the agency's expectation to protect, detect and respond to sexual misconduct and clearly define the role of the state PREA Coordinator. The facility has a safe environment where Probationers supported violent sexual assault is not a concern. Random staff interviews further support a zero-tolerance culture. Individual staff interviewed supported a welltrained compliment who is aware of their duties in promoting a sexually safe environment.

The Auditor feels the existence of the PREA Office, with three Regional Analysts supporting the state PREA Coordinator, shows a strong commitment to ensuring support is provided across the agency's 41 facilities. Observation of the facility and PREA Office staff support a cooperative and familiar relationship exists. These reasons, with well-defined policy and probationer described safe environment support the determination the standard has been exceeded.

Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auditor Discussion

Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

OP 260.1 Procurement of Goods

VA DOC Website

VA Contract with the GEO Group including extension

Geo Group Website

VA DOC Monitoring Report

2022 PREA Audit report for Lawrenceville CI

Individuals interviewed/ tour observations.

Interview with PREA Coordinator (PC)

Interview with Contract Manager

Summary Determination

Indicator a) The pre-audit report indicated the Department of Corrections has one contracted facility. The Auditor was provided documentation of the 1500-bed contracted facility in Lawrenceville, Virginia. The Virginia Department of Corrections addresses the requirements of this indicator in two policies. The agency's PREA policy OP 038.3- PREA states, "contract for the confinement of DOC Inmates must include in any new contract or contract renewal the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards". Policy OP 260.1- Procurement of Goods states, "All contracts for the confinement of DOC Inmates must include in any new contract or contract renewal the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards.". It goes on to define the guidelines for emergency contracting of a facility that is not compliant with PREA. "Only in emergency circumstances in which all reasonable attempts to find a private agency or other entity in compliance with the PREA standards have failed, will the DOC enter into a contract with any entity that fails to comply with these standards. In such a case, all unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with standards must be documented." The Auditor was provided with several documents including contracts with the GEO Group and

annual renewals of the contract.

Indicator b) The Auditor found language in the two policies mentioned in indicator a). The policies state "Any new contract or contract renewal must provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards." The Auditor learned from the GEO website and documents provided that the facility in Lawrenceville has been under contract since 2003. The Auditor also reviewed The Virginia Department of Corrections website which shows the facility in Lawrenceville has undergone three PREA audits (2016, 2019, 2022). The most recent PREA Audit of Lawrenceville Correctional Center occurred in August of 2022. The Auditor was provided documentation to support ongoing monitoring of the facility. Two reports support compliance with ongoing monitoring. One report shows all PREA Cases in the Quarter that are reported to the PREA Office, and the second report shows monthly site visits completed by the Virginia DOC looking at all aspects of the facility including PREA. The PREA Coordinator and DOC staff person monitoring the contract described the monitoring process confirming that sexual safety continues to be a priority of the Virginia DOC at all facilities including contracted environments. The GEO contracted facility has the same reporting mechanism as other Virginia DOC reporting which ensures notifications are made to the state's PREA Office. The individual who completes monthly site visits for the Virginia DOC confirms she is notified on major incidents at the facility and in her tours she looks to make sure PREA information is prominently posted in all housing areas and visiting areas. She also confirmed the information is available in multiple languages.

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor reviewed agency policies, contracts, and contract renewals with the GEO Group. Agency contracts and renewals for the confinement of VA DOC Inmates included the requirements of this standard and require monitoring by agency personnel. The Auditor determined the Virginia Department of Corrections meets the requirements of this standard based on the documents reviewed in the OAS and on the GEO Group and Virginia DOC websites. The Annual Report documents include data from the Lawrenceville facility. The Auditor also considered information from interviews with the Contract Manager, PREA Coordinator, and PREA Analyst.

Supervision and monitoring		
Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard		
Auditor Discussion		
Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.		

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

OP 401.1 the Development and Maintenance of Post Orders

OP 401.2 Security Staffing

Staff Duty Rosters

Staffing plans

Annual Review

Camera positions

Duty Post audit report

Logs and Video of Supervisor Tours

Individuals interviewed/ observations.

Interview with PREA Coordinator

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interview with Superintendent.

Interview with Staff

Interviews with Probationers

Summary Determination

Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Corrections policy 401.2 Security Staffing covers the language of this indicator. The policy uses the standard's language to describe the requirements of the development and ongoing reviews of staffing needs at Virginia's Department of Corrections facilities. The policy language includes the 11 elements listed in indicator a). "The facility staffing plan provides for adequate levels of staffing, and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect offenders against sexual abuse. In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, facilities will take into consideration:

(§115.13[a]; §115.213[a])

a. Generally accepted detention and correctional practices

b. Any judicial findings of inadequacy

c. Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies

d. Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies

e. All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or

offenders may be isolated) (§115.213[a])

f. The composition of the offender population (§115.213[a])

g. The number and placement of supervisory staff

h. Institution programs occurring on a particular shift

i. Any applicable state or local laws, regulations, or standards

j. The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse (§115.213[a])

k. Any other relevant factors (§115.213[a])"

The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has provided a copy of the facility's current staffing plan for 2023. The facility has provided documents, including the narrative, schematics of the facility, camera locations, and post assignments. The community confinement facility has 56 cameras covering much of the interior and exterior spaces of the facility. The Auditor had made some suggestions where additional resources could aid in monitoring the open complex. The facility encompasses about 25 active acres used by the facility with additional wooed acreage the state owns outside the cleared area. The cameras are monitored at the entrance to the 1950s building that houses inmates. The facility has four main buildings of which three are inside a secure perimeter. There are other storage spaces in the complex.

The facility is not under any legal judgment or has been sighted by any state or federal oversight body. The facility also has not had a PREA allegation in the past year. The Auditor confirmed this with the Superintendent and reviewed the information provided in the American Correctional Association's April 2023 audit report. The ACA auditors found the facility to be in compliance with national standards on staffing. The Auditor also completed a web search for information about the facility. The Auditor made some suggestions to improve the documentation and to add more information on support positions such as Probation Officers and mental health professionals who routinely move through the units. The staffing plan was developed on the maximum population of 116 probationers instead of the current or past year averages of less than 50. The report shows also the individuals involved in the review and the communication from the state's PREA Office.

Indicator b). This indicator is NA. Interview with the Superintendent confirms the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has not gone under its

approved minimal staffing in the past year. The facility can 'draft' overtime work from either voluntary or mandated staff to reach institutional minimums. There is a daily log for each shift documenting when

staff calls out and who is replacing the post-assignment. The facility leadership would be notified of any emergency where minimums would not be met. The facility provided information on why the schedule may be adjusted including for time off, and trainings. Staff have been asked to work at or provide transportation for higherlevel security institutions in the region. Inmates spoke with supported that line staff and supervisors are readily available.

Indicator c). The PREA Office completed the 2022-2023 annual review of the staffing plan with the Superintendent, the Security Chief/Major, the Human Resources Officer, and the PREA Compliance Manager (Probation Supervisor). The report included information on staffing needs,

adjustments made to the staffing plan, and identified areas for monitoring technology to improve institutional safety. The report is reviewed, and recommendations can be forwarded to the regional office. The Superintendent was aware of the process, and the state PREA Coordinator and PREA Regional Analyst also signed a document supporting their review report. The Auditor confirmed with the Superintendent and the PREA Coordinator that concerns or requested resources would then be advocated through these individuals for the allocation of resources or funds.

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor concluded the facility has adequate staffing to protect probationers from sexual abuse. This was determined through observation and discussions with the probationer population. The Auditor reviewed VA DOC policies that applied, the facility Staffing Plan, Duty Rosters, and the annual staffing plan review. The Auditor confirmed practice through observations on tour and interviews conducted with staff and Probationers. The Auditor's interviews with the Superintendent, PREA Compliance Manager, and PREA Regional Analyst confirmed a process is in place to communicate when an identified need is recognized. Staffing, video, and other potential risks are reportedly part of the Superintendent's regular assessment of his facility. He describes this activity as an ongoing process instead of an annual review. Discussions with the Chief of Security, and other facility leadership confirmed they complete unannounced facility rounds are completed though not required in the community confinement

standard. Compliance is based on documentation reviewed, interviews with facility leadership and the PREA Office staff.

115.215	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 350.2 Training and Development
	OP 401.1 Development and Maintenance of Post Orders
	OP 401.2 Security Staffing
	OP 445.4 Screening and Searches of Persons
	OP 720.2 Medical Screening, Classification & Levels of Care
	OP 801.1 Facility Physical Plant and Sanitation
	Search Training Materials
	Log books on cross-gender announcements
	Memos confirming no cross-gender searches
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interview with Superintendent
	Interview with Random Staff
	Interview with Random Probationers
	Observation of logs on-site
	Summary Determination
	Indicator a). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program does not routinely perform cross-gender strip or body cavity searches. The facility reported no cases in the past year. Policy 445.4, the agency's search policy, sets forth the requirements for body cavity searches and cross-gender strip searches, including language supporting the client's gender identity for transgender and intersex probationers. The policy states, "One Corrections Officer and one other DOC employee both of whom are of the same gender as the probationer or CCAP

probationer/parolee or of the gender indicated on the approved Strip Search Deviation Request will accompany the probationer or CCAP probationer/parolee into an appropriate

area where privacy can be ensured. No person of the opposite gender can be present or witness the strip search."

The agency policy requires that the Superintendent and the Regional Director be notified if a probationer is believed to be concealing contraband. Any contact with the probationer's body cavity is completed by a medical professional. The policy does require a security person to be present of the same gender as the probationer. The policy goes on to state that if the offender is transgender or intersex, the gender of the security staff person will be consistent with the individual's approved Strip Search Deviation Request. "A medical practitioner only will conduct the body cavity search and inspection in private. The medical practitioner conducting the body cavity search may or may not be the same gender as the Probationer being searched. At least one DOC employee of the same gender as the Probationer being searched or of the gender indicated on an approved Strip Search Deviation Request must be present at all times." The facility reports there were no incidents of crossgender body cavity searches. The Superintendent, Major, and PREA Compliance Manager report that all body cavity searches would be documented, including the individual present and the justification for such actions. Policy OP 445.4 also references the required elements of the mandated incident report consistent with the stated expectations. Memos were provided quarterly going back over a year stating no cross-gender strip or body cavity searches had occurred at SMCCAP. Probationers who were interviewed denied ever being naked in front of oppositegender staff.

Indicator b). The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program does not house female probationers.

Indicator c). As noted above there are no females at SMCCAP and no reported emergency

requiring a cross-gender strip search of a male probationer. The DOC policy allows for female staff to complete pat searches of male probationers but strip searches of male probationers by female staff should only occur in exigent circumstances. Virginia DOC policy OP 445.4 covers the language of this indicator. "Male or Female Corrections Officers will frisk search male inmates and CCAP probationers/parolees." "One Corrections Officer and one other DOC employee both of whom are of the same gender as the inmate or CCAP probationer/parolee or of the gender indicated on the approved Strip Search Deviation Request will accompany the inmate or CCAP probationer/parolee into an appropriate area where privacy can be ensured. (5-ACI-3A-21; 4-ACRS-2C-06; §115.15[a], §115.215[a]) a. No person of the opposite gender can be present or witness the strip search." In sections on frisk search, strip search, and body cavity searches, the policy states that all cross-gender searches will be documented in an incident report consistent with OP 038.1 Reporting Serious or Unusual Incidents. Before the opposite gender strip search is conducted the Shift Commander must approve the reason and notify the On-call Duty Officer and the Regional PREA Analyst. The facility reports no such occasions have occurred.

Indicator d). Virginia Department of Corrections policy OP 801.1 addresses the indicator when it states, "Facility procedures and practices shall enable offenders to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without a nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks." Policy OP 401.2 describes as part of the housing unit supervision the same description as stated above as well as a requirement of opposite gender staff announcements. "Staff of the opposite gender must announce their presence when entering an offender housing unit and must document these announcements in the logbook." The Auditor confirmed through the random interviews with staff and probationers the practices of cross-gender announcements. The Auditor was provided with logbook entries in the OAS showing the announcements were made and documented. The Auditor also reviewed the logbooks at the two staff desk positions in the facility (between the housing units and on the lower level of the housing building.) During the tour and subsequent movement, the Auditor heard staff announcements about females entering areas. The facility has small bathrooms in the housing units with toilets and sinks and privacy surround. There is a large toilet/ washroom and a separate gang shower area on the lower level. These areas are enclosed, protecting probationers from incidental viewing by female staff. The probationers did not report concerns about female staff seeing them toileting. The Auditor offered suggestions on improving individual privacy in the shower area.

Indicator (e) Two Virginia DOC policies address the requirements of this indicator. OP 720.2 and OP 445.4 require that Transgender individuals will not be strip-searched to determine their genital status. The policy requires that if unknown the determination is made through interviews with the probationer or as part of a physical exam conducted by a medical practitioner. "If a transgender or intersex offender's genital status is unknown, a physical examination will not be conducted for the sole purpose of determining their genital status. This information may be determined during an interview, by reviewing medical records, or if, necessary, by learning this information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private". Random staff interviews confirm that the training on searches included using the back or edge of the hand when completing a cross-gender pat search. They were able to describe the search process, including respectful communication and awareness of potential trauma histories. Transgender probationers would shower reportedly during counts. All admissions to SMCCAP are planned as such; they would likely know in advance if an individual was transgender. The facility reports having no transgender or intersex individuals in the past year.

Indicator (f) All staff interviewed report they have received training on searches, including how to complete pat searches of transgendered or intersex individuals. The staff knew that a committee would review the Probationer's request for the gender staff they would feel more comfortable. The facility provided training records and a curriculum that describes the search process. The staff knew to use the back or blade of the hand and communicate with the individuals to explain what will happen next. The staff were aware that some Probationers may have past trauma from physical or sexual abuse making them hypervigilant. Agency training policy OP 350.2 Training and development addresses this indicator as a requirement for all custody staff. "Cross-gender frisk searches and searches of transgender and intersex Probationer /parolees in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs."

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor confirmed through the interview process that staff had been appropriately trained to conduct cross-gender searches, respectful searches of transgender individuals, and make opposite-gender announcements when entering offender living units. Probationer confirmed the ability to shower, change clothing, and use the restroom without a nonmedical staff of the opposite gender seeing them do so. The Auditor reviewed the agency's policies and procedures, and training documents. During the tour, the Auditor made observations and interviewed staff and probationers to determine compliance with this standard. There were no transgendered individuals in the population to interview or review documentation for indicators (e) or (f).

115.216	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy – 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
	Offender training acknowledgment forms (English, Spanish)
	PREA Brochure (English and Spanish)
	Interpretive Service Contracts (Propio and Purple)
	Comprehensive Education Video

Memo from Superintendent on Interpretive services

PREA Posting in the facility in multiple languages

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Probationer education acknowledgment

Interviews with Staff

Interviews with Offenders

Observations of PREA Information posted

Summary Determination

Indicator a). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has services in place to ensure disabled and Limited English Proficient Probationers have the appropriate understanding and access to services described in this standard. Individuals with significant disabilities or language barriers might not be referred to the program as it is a work program. The facility was unable to Identify individuals with physical hearing or vision impairments. There were no individuals with intellectual, psychiatric, or reading impairments. Policy OP 038.3 the PREA policy defines disabled and limited English proficiency in the same language as the standard. The policy ensures equal access to the facility's efforts to protect, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment incidents. The policy acknowledges the protections afforded under the Americans with Disabilities Act. All employees are informed of the at-risk populations described in this standard. The Director of the Department of Corrections spoke on the expectations of providing full access and protection to these at-risk populations. The PREA Coordinator also oversees the agency's efforts to ensure compliance with ADA regulations. The facility did not have any targeted individuals who were LEP or disabled in the population on the days of the audit or in the past year. None of the individuals needed aids to comprehend information. The nature of the SMCCAP being a work program may impact some individuals' ability to participate in the program, The facility has staff trained on ADA and would let the PREA and ADA regional analysts know of any barriers to comprehension to program expectations including the facilities Zero-Tolerance stance toward sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The Auditor required the program to get an updated Spanish version of the resident handbook, which was provided during the post-audit period and uploaded to the OAS. The Intake staff confirmed they ask individuals about their ability to read and the Auditor discussed the importance of offering bilingual individuals the written materials in the language they are more comfortable reading. It should also be noted that the women's prison reportedly makes braille handbooks for those who are blind in the Virginia DOC institutions.

Indicator b). Virginia DOC policy OP 038.3 states, "Facility staff must take reasonable steps to ensure offenders who are limited English proficient, are afforded meaningful access to all aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary." The facility provided contracts with an agency that can provide interpretive services in over fifty languages in under a three-minute response time. The Auditor was also provided a secondary contract with an organization called Purple which can support Probationers who use American Sign Language. The Auditor reviewed the documents to ensure they were current, and the facility provided records supporting the contracts that predated the previous audit cycle. The Auditor did not use the interpretive services as no individual was Limited English Proficient or used American Sign Language to communicate. The Auditor has previously used the same services at other Virginia DOC facilities this year. The Auditor reviewed the housing list for Hispanic and Asian surnames when determining the individuals to interview. The Auditor also spoke with the PREA Compliance Manager on how they handle these situations if they were to arise. The PCM confirmed that these interviews would be completed in a private space away from others as they would use video or audio interpretation. Staff members interviewed were aware that there are interpretive services available if needed. As noted in indicator a) the auditor required a Spanish handbook to be available for residents. The Auditor did see appropriate signage in the facility in Spanish the most common language other than English spoken in the program.

Indicator c). Random staff interviewed knew it was inappropriate to use one Probationer to interpret for another. Staff knew it should only be done in the most extreme situations. The agency PREA policy (OP 038.3 (page 7) states, "Facility staff cannot rely on offender interpreters, offender readers, or other types of offender assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the offender's safety, the performance of first-response duties under, or the investigation of the offender's allegations. Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) should be utilized to effectively communicate with deaf offenders when American Sign Language interpreters are not available on-site." There have been no incidents in which a probationer interpreter has been used to address any PREA-related concern in this Audit cycle. There have also been no admissions in the past year of any Limited English Proficient probationers to SMCCAP.

Compliance Determination:

The State PREA Coordinator is also a Supervisor of the ADA compliance unit, which further ensures PREA education and access to services for protected populations

occur. The Auditor was able to see the documentation in English and Spanish, the two most common languages in the Virginia DOC population. The Auditor was also able to confirm the use of Just Detention International's video "PREA What you need to know," which is used as part of Probationer education and is available in multiple languages. The Auditor was informed that there were no occasions in which interpretive services were needed. The facility has documents available in Spanish if a bilingual probationer can read better in one language than the other. The Auditor also confirmed individuals with disabilities on their ability to receive support if they did not understand PREA or the agency's efforts. Probationers support there is staff available to assist individuals who have hearing, emotional, or comprehension disabilities in addition to those with language barriers. The policy provided, the contracts in place, the staff and probationer knowledge of accessing services all support a compliance determination. The Auditor also considered that the PREA Coordinator also oversees the agency's ADA efforts as a positive consideration.

115.217	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 102.2 Recruitment Selection and Appointment
	Policy OP 102.3 Background Investigation Program
	Policy OP 102.7 Employment Records
	Policy OP 135.1 Standards of Conduct
	Policy OP 145.2 Employee Performance Management
	Policy OP 260.1 Procurement of Goods and Services
	Employee, contractor, and volunteer records
	Chart of Criminal Checks for all employees
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with Human Resource Staff
	Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

Summary Determination

Indicator (a). The Virginia Department of Corrections currently uses an online process for perspective applicants to apply to jobs in the DOC. In policy and in the application process, the agency prohibits hiring individuals with histories of engaging in items listed in this indicator. Virginia DOC policy OP 102.2 Recruitment Selection and Appointment (page 5) addresses this indicator's requirements in the section on employee eligibility. The Policy strictly prohibits the employment or contracting the services of individuals who have engaged in, have been convicted of engaging in or attempting to engage in or administratively been adjudicated for sexual assault. The policy states under eligibility,

"Eligibility

1. The DOC will not hire or promote anyone for a position that may have contact with Probationers, probationers, or parolees who has been:

a. Engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. §1997, Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons);

b. Convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or

c. Civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse."

Agency policy OP 260.1 Procurement of Goods and Services utilizes the same language requirements for contracted employees. Interviews with HR staff support the process of screening all applicants for employment at the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program, including contracted employees. Any approved volunteer undergoes the same screening process and the same acknowledgment form. The employee application process requires potential candidates to confirm that they have not engaged in any form of sexual misconduct described above. The Auditor confirmed the questions are asked at the time of hire and during promotional periods. In determining compliance, the Auditor reviewed 13 files of the 41 staff, including individuals hired in the last year. The Virginia DOC has had the PREA questions as part of the employment applications since 2014. The Auditor was able to see in the HR files reviewed where the questions were asked of employees hired before that date in their annual reviews. SMCCAP Records are maintained on-site in a working file with the regional office, which completes the pre-employment background checks having an official record. Indicator (b). The Virginia Department of Corrections policy prohibits the employment or contracting of individuals who may have engaged in behaviors described in indicator (a). The Auditor confirmed with the HR staff that the Virginia DOC performs criminal background checks on these individuals. The Auditor reviewed contracted employees as part of this standards review process. The Human Resources staff confirmed that all individuals who are recommended for hire or promotion who have potential concerning issues in their work or personal history would be brought to the Superintendent's attention before any offer of a position in the institution. The agency's regional office completes the actual criminal checks and sends notices back to the facility if a concern arises. The DOC prescreening process for its employees would seek to find information on criminal offenses and the agency does reach out to former employers for other behaviors that might have caused discipline. Similarly, DOC employees who had prior concerns in other facilities would be flagged for past behaviors before a transfer would be approved. Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has 4 contracted staff, that provided direct services to the probationers in the past year. Sample records were provided supporting appropriate background investigations are completed before access is granted.

Indicator (c). The Virginia Department of Corrections completes criminal background checks on all employees. The Agency policy OP102.3 Background Investigation Program covers the requirements of this standard. Policy language describes elements in the process. "Facility employees may perform limited background investigations for non-sensitive volunteers in a facility with a copy of the completed Application for Volunteer/Intern Services 027_F2 forwarded to the BIU Supervisor for recording and retention.

1. The following documents should be attached:

a. Authority for Release of Information 102_F7

b. A copy of the applicant's Driver's License or other government-issued photo identification

c. Fingerprint Cards, if applicable, or provide Live Scan TCN number

d. The BIU must perform a full background investigation for volunteers in sensitive positions the following documents to BIU:

i. Application for Volunteer/Intern Services 027_F2

ii. Background Investigation Questionnaire 102_F2

iii. Request for Background Investigation 102_F6

iv. Authority for Release of Information 102_F7

v. A copy of the applicant's Driver's License or other government-issued photo

identification

vi. A Copy of the applicant's License or Certification, if applicable

vii. Live Scan TCN number, if applicable, or provide two completed inked Fingerprint Cards.

e. The Organizational Unit Head may grant preliminary approval, based on preliminary reports, for volunteers to serve in sensitive positions pending completion of the full background investigation."

In discussions with the Human Resources staff and the Agency PREA Coordinator, these are consistently done both as pre-employment and at the required 5-year intervals in indicator (e). The check includes a criminal background check and prior institutional checks. Virginia law does not allow the record to be maintained as part of the employee's file and requires the document to reportedly be destroyed after use. The Human Resources staff confirmed the process and was able to show the Auditor how the process was completed. Applications of potential candidates are forward to the DOC regional office who has the agency's background unit. The staff have a system for tracking individuals who are due the 5-year checks. The Auditor, Superintendent, and the Human Resources staff person discussed elements that are required to be maintained and the documentation currently in place. The facility has a log of when the checks occurred.

Indicator (d). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program as stated in Indicator (a), completes criminal background checks on all contracted employees and any approved volunteers. Interviews with contracted staff and volunteers support they were required to pass a background check before being allowed into the facility. Employees who are contracted and have routine contact with Probationers go through the same process as full-time employees. SMCCAP has only one such contractor, the Chaplin. The Policy allows for other screening of nonsensitive persons to be done at the facility level. "Facility employees may perform limited background investigations for non-sensitive temporary position contractors in a facility with the appropriate sections of the Confidential Summary Background Investigation Report 102_F10 completed and forwarded to the BIU Supervisor for recording and retention." SMCCAP provided records of approved nonemployees allowed to enter the facility and perform tasks under staff supervision.

Indicator (e). Discussions with the Human resources staff support that staff have criminal background checks at the time of hire and at least every five years after that. As noted in indicator c) Virginia does not allow criminal record checks (VICN) to be maintained in their human resources file. The policy sets forth the "The Human Resource Officer shall document in the Access Employee Database that the criminal records check (VCIN) was conducted." The Human Resources staff confirmed the process is done and that if new charges were found steps taken to notify the Superintendent. The Auditor reviewed a spreadsheet supporting the process is completed. The Auditor also spoke with the PREA Coordinator on options to further support compliance.

Indicator (f). As noted in Indicator (a), all Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program employees are asked to complete the Employee Application, which includes questions required in indicator a). The employees, after hire, also complete a form titled PREA Mandatory Sexual Misconduct Disclosure. Staff is asked the aforementioned questions as well as created a continuing responsibility to disclose such misconduct. The form states," All answers and statements are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. By signing this form, I am acknowledging that the information provided above is accurate and complete and that I have a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct." The Virginia DOC had all existing employees complete the form.

Indicator (g). Policy OP 135.1 Standard of Conduct states, "Material omissions regarding convictions or charges of sexual abuse or sexual harassment in an institutional setting, sexual activity by force or coercion (or if the victim could not or did not consent), civil or administrative adjudication for sexual activity by force shall be grounds for termination." Contained also in the PREA Employee Questionnaire is the following passage: "any material omissions regarding such misconduct, or provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for disqualification from employment or termination." The PREA Mandatory Sexual Misconduct Form and the employment applications reviewed in staff files confirm the process is routinely done.

Indicator (h). The Virginia DOC allows for the agency, with proper releases of information, to disclose to other institutions any PREA-related concerns. Interviews with Human Resources staff confirm they make requests of both internal and outside employers when hiring, The Auditor was provided with three recent examples of the request made or received and the facility's response. The letters to the requesting facility are signed by the facility PREA Compliance Manager. The Human Resource staff member understood the importance of attempting to obtain information from previous institutional employers.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections has a policy in place to address the requirements of the standard including the completion of background checks, and pre-employment screening that supports the agency's efforts to screen out predatory candidates from employment. The Auditor interviewed the Human Resources staff at the SMCCAP that oversees the hiring for SMCCAP. The agency has

all staff and contractors undergo criminal background checks. The Human Resource staff reports she works closely with regional and facility management to ensure the line of communication is maintained. The Virginia DOC has implemented forms in policy to document staff understanding the requirements related to the various indicators in this standard. The Facility had provided examples in advance and the Auditor as for additional randomized examples of employee records. The Virginia DOC has several policies that utilize the standard language to address the requirements. The Auditor was also able to review information from a total of 15 files of current and former staff, contractors, and volunteers. Interviews with Human Resource staff and PREA Coordinator further confirmed the process to ensure individuals who have engaged in sexual misconduct are not employed at Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program. The agency will share information about former employees if that facility requests information. As outlined above, there were several factors used by the Auditor in determining compliance.

115.218	Upgrades to facilities and technology
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 801.1 Facility Physical Plant and Sanitation
	Memo from Superintendent
	Camera Locations
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
	Interview with Superintendent
	Observations on the Tour of potential blind/risk spots
	Summary Determination
	Indicator a). Stafford Men's has not undergone an expansion or renovations that would have impacted probationer safety. The Auditor confirmed with the Superintendent and the PREA Compliance that no major changes/ renovations have occurred at SMCCAP. Virginia DOC policy addresses the concerns of this Indicator in

policy OP 801.1, which states, "The effect of the facility's design, acquisition, expansion, or modification on the facility's ability to protect the offender from sexual abuse shall be taken into consideration when designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial expansion or modification to an existing facility." The Auditor was shown a space that was currently unoccupied but had been used to house individuals during the pandemic. The facility is considering using it like an honor pod for those doing well in the program.

Indicator b). The SMCCAP has not added any cameras or monitoring technology in the past three years. OP 801.1 states, "For new installations or updates to existing video monitoring systems, electronic surveillance systems or other monitoring technologies, the facility shall take into consideration how such technology may enhance their ability to protect offenders

from sexual abuse." The Facility has 56 cameras to cover the majority of the complex. The Auditor discussed on tour some potential concerning areas where additional monitoring could be beneficial. The facility has limited incidents of aggression of any type.

Compliance Determination:

Agency policy 801.1 supports the Virginia Department of Corrections has a system to consider Probationer sexual safety in designing new spaces, modifying existing spaces, or adding monitoring technology. The interviews supported that there are strong avenues of communication between the facility and agency administration to ensure appropriate resources can be applied to resolve identified concerns. Though the facility serves a lower-risk population, the Auditor made recommendations on areas where monitoring technology could aid in supervision. The Auditor finds the standard has been met given that the DOC has a policy in place. The facility and agency leadership have avenues in place. Both indicators were currently not applicable since there were no reported changes in the past three years.

Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion
Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
Policy – 030.1 Evidence Collection and Preservation

Policy - 030.4 Special Investigations Unit

Policy - 038.3 PREA

Policy - 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment and Care

Policy – 730.2 MHS Screening Assessment and Classification

Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services Website

Virginia Statute 53-10 Power and Duties of DOC Director

Virginia listing of SAFE/SANE training programs.

MOU with Action Alliance

VA DOJ- SART A Model Protocol for Virginia

National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations: Adults/ Adolescents

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interview with Random staff

Interview with SANE/SAFE (Mary Washington Health Care)

Interviews with Investigative staff

Interview with Rape Crisis agency staff (Action Alliance, Rappahannock Council Against Sexual Assault)

Summary Determination

Indicator a). The Director of the Virginia Department of Corrections is given the authority in statute to employ an investigative unit with full police powers. The agency will complete both criminal and administrative investigations. The law described the Director's ability as follows. "To designate employees of the Department with internal investigations authority to have the same power as a sheriff or a law-enforcement officer in the investigation of allegations of criminal behavior affecting the operations of the Department. Such employees shall be subject to any minimum training standards established by the Department of Criminal Justice Services under § 9.1-102 for law-enforcement officers prior to exercising any law-enforcement power granted under this subdivision." Virginia DOC has several policies in place for its Special Investigations Units (SIU) to follow to ensure a thorough investigation occurs. The agency policy, 030.4 Special

Investigation Unit, sets forth in the 22-page document that investigations will be completed using a uniform practice. Pages 12-13 specifically address the Prison Rape Elimination Act. ". "VII. Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Investigations

A. The Organizational Unit Head will ensure that an administrative and/or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

B. When the Organizational Unit Head receives notification from another facility that an inmate or CAP probationer/parolee was sexually abused while confined at that facility, they will ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with the PREA Standards

C. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports must be immediately reported to the facility-designated investigator who will conduct an initial investigation and will immediately notify the PREA Analyst of the allegation.

D. Unless the facility investigator quickly and definitively determines that the allegation is unfounded, allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment will be referred for investigation to the SIU which has the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations."

The policy goes on to state that the investigation will be completed using a uniform practice.

"G. Evidence Protocol and Forensic Medical Examinations

1. SIU has an established uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions.

2. The established protocol is developmentally appropriate for youth and is based on or similar to other comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011."

Virginia DOC Policy 030.1 Evidence Collection and Preservation further define steps to be taken by investigators to protect evidence, chain of command, and crime scene integrity. This policy also addresses video evidence and storage. An interview with an SIU Investigator confirms the training provided, so all DOC investigators ensure a consistent approach to ensure the likelihood of obtaining physical evidence. Random staff at SMCCAP were able to describe the steps to protect evidence until it can be properly obtained by the investigator or a SANE in a first responder situation.

Indicator b). The Special Investigation Unit policy also addresses the requirement of this indicator. The Auditor confirmed with the Investigator, the nurse in charge of, and Sexual Assault Examinations at the hospital on the protocol used for Sexual Assault Examinations. The SIU Investigator would not collect evidence as part of the forensic exam but is trained in working with victims of abuse and preserving crime scene evidence. The Hospital staff confirmed SANE nurses are trained to use protocols approved by the state and consistent with the National Protocol developed by the Department of Justice. The Auditor reviewed with the SANE nurse the training requirements each individual must complete before they are allowed to solo on completing a perk kit. The Virginia state website has a listing of all hospitals with SAFE/Sane training programs. The Stafford Hospital is part of the Mary Washington Health Care system which is listed as a training site. The main hospital is located just 15 minutes further than the facility in town.

Indicator c). All victims of sexual abuse at Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program would be taken to either Stafford Hospital or the Mary Washington facility in Fredericksburg VA. An interview with hospital staff confirmed the staff includes trained nurses in completing forensic examinations of sexual abuse victims. It was confirmed consistent with DOC policy 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment and Care (page 9) that "there will be no financial cost to the victim-offender for this examination." The Auditor also reviewed Virginia Victims Fund which will cover the victim's cost.

"The SAFE Payment Program will pay for:

- Emergency Room Physician fees
- Hospital and forensic examiner fees
- Testing for sexually transmitted infections (STI) and pregnancy
- Medications to prevent STIs and pregnancy
- Ambulance ride to a hospital for evidence collection2
- Full course of HIV preventative medication if warranted
- Follow-up medical care while taking HIV preventative medication
- Follow-up medical forensic examinations"

Indicator d). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has access to rape crisis agency staff through a Memorandum of Understanding with Action Alliance. The Virginia Department of Corrections has had an ongoing relationship dating back to 2014 with Action Alliance. Action Alliance is the umbrella organization for state domestic and sexual abuse agencies. The Auditor was provided the original agreement and all subsequent renewals for services. In interviews with Action Alliance staff, the Auditor was able to confirm the relationship between the agencies. The facility has had no sexual assault incidents or requests by inmates with past histories to access the support of an RCC. The Auditor also reached out to the local Rape Crisis Center (Rappahannock Council Against Sexual Assault) to see if they received any requests for providing emotional support for any SMCCAP probationer or had concerns about past complaints of sexual violence at the facility. The staff reported no concerns. Indicator e). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has two policies that address the requirements of this indicator 038.3 PREA (page 13) and 730.2 MHS Screening and Assessment (page 8). Interview with SANE nurses, the Action Alliance representative, and the facility PREA Compliance Manager confirms the ability to support the probationer during an exam, a criminal investigation interview, or to provide ongoing support to victims. The interview with the Investigator confirms that a rape crisis support advocate is routinely offered to probationers. The Auditor also found the description of services in the MOU between VA-DOC and Action Alliance confirming supporting Probationers at forensic exams or investigative interviews. The representative of Action Alliance confirmed that supportive counseling would include a referral if the Probationer was leaving SMCCAP to another part of the state. The state's Criminal Justice Division also has a publication, Sexual Assault Response Teams; A Protocol for Virginia, which supports the importance of advocates during the forensic exam and investigatory interviews as well as an ongoing resource for support.

Indicator f). The indicator is NA. Virginia Department of Corrections has trained individuals in their Special Investigations Unit (SIU) who would be responsible for completing criminal and administrative investigations.

Indicator g). The Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Indicator h). The indicator is NA. The Virginia Department of Corrections has entered into an MOU with Action Alliance to provide support to victims of sexual misconduct at the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program. The Auditor also spoke with the Rappahannock Council Against Sexual Assault, a local RCC confirming they would also be available if requested by the probationer or Action Alliance.

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor finds that the standard is compliant. The facility allows probationers access to victim advocates from a rape crisis center through a current MOU with Action Alliance. The facility provides Probationer victims access to a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner at no cost at the hospital. The Auditor reviewed the agency's policies and procedures, and Memorandum of Understanding, and talked with the hospital, rape crisis agency, facility investigator, and a member of Virginia's SIU to determine compliance. Absent a sexual assault, the above factors were the basis for determining compliance along with information from various websites.

115.222	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy – 030.4 Special Investigation Unit
	Policy – 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
	Agency Website
	Investigation Matrix
	Memo confirming no allegations
	Virginia law- 15.2-1704. Powers and duties of the police force.
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interview with Director
	Interview with Superintendent
	Interview with Investigators
	Interview with Probationers who made allegations
	Summary determination.
	Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Corrections has put in place trained investigators to complete criminal and administrative Investigations of sexual misconduct at its facilities. The Auditor spoke with the facility Investigator while on site and spoke with an SIU representative earlier this year. Each investigator confirmed the process by which they will be informed of an allegation. Virginia Policy 030.4 Special Investigations Unit (page 10) requires 'the Unit Managers to ensure
	administrative or criminal investigations occur on all allegations of sexual assault or sexual harassment.' The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program had no allegation of sexual abuse in the past year to be investigated. Interviews with the DOC Director and the Superintendent confirmed the expectation that all allegations be thoroughly investigated. The Superintendent discussed how he would

expect the investigative process to occur administratively and criminally if appropriate. The Auditor was also provided an investigative matrix that describes the steps the facility and the SIU take in completing investigations, including PREA-

related allegations.
Indicator b). Virginia DOC Policy OP 030.4 Special Investigation Unit (SIU) (page 3) sets forth the obligation that all sexual assault and sexual harassment cases be investigated. The policy confirms the authority of SIU staff as having full police authority. The Auditor confirmed the policy is on the VA DOC website while also reviewing state law in Virginia 15.2-1704 which defines the powers of police. The interview with the SIU agent confirmed the powers of arrest and authority to investigate crime in the facility. This includes the ability to continue the investigation even if the alleged perpetrator or victim has left employment or custody of the institution.
Indicator c). N/A - The Virginia Department of Corrections is responsible for Criminal Investigations and Administrative Investigations at Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program.
Indicator d). N/A - The Auditor is not required to review this provision.
Indicator e). N/A - The Auditor is not required to review this provision.
Compliance Determination:
The documents reviewed by the Auditor confirm the authority of the DOC investigators to investigate sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. SMCCAP did not have any investigative documents to review as there have been no allegations. The Auditor also took into consideration interviews with the Director, the SIU investigator, the facility Investigator, and the Superintendent to confirm all allegations of sexual assault and sexual harassment are to be investigated.

115.231	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy 102.6 Staff Orientation

Policy 350.2 Training and Development

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program staff training records

Training Curriculums, outlines, and exams for Online and Academy courses

PREA/ADA monthly newsletters

Training rosters

PREA Office newsletters

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

PREA Compliance Manager

Random Staff

Summary determination.

Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Corrections has policies and training in place to address the requirements of this indicator. Agency policy OP 102.6 staff orientation states, "The DOC will train all employees who may have contact with offenders on:

a. Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment

b. How to fulfill their responsibilities under DOC sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response procedures

c. The offenders' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment

d. The right of offenders and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment

e. The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement

f. The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims

g. How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse

h. How to avoid inappropriate relationships with offenders

i. How to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming offenders

j. How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse

to outside authorities."

The Auditor reviewed the training materials used to educate employees when hired and during annual refreshers. The training materials reviewed contained all 10 required elements of this indicator. Employees are trained and random staff interviews support an understanding of the agency's zero-tolerance policy toward sexual misconduct. Staff are told "Any behavior of a sexual nature between employees and offenders is prohibited. Employees are subject to a Group III offense under Operating Procedure 135.1 Standards of Conduct and may be prosecuted under the Code of Virginia." The Random staff were able to give examples of what they do in their daily jobs that help in protecting, detecting, and responding to incidents of sexual misconduct. The staff reported awareness of the Probationers' and staff's rights to be able to report a concern without fear of retaliation. Staff were aware of individuals at greater risk and the symptoms they learned in the training of individuals who might be victims of abuse. Interviewed staff provided examples of different reasons sexual violence may occur in an institutional setting. A portion of the materials goes over staff standards of conduct, avoiding fraternization with Probationers, and the mandatory responsibility to report individuals who violate the policy. Staff also were able to discuss what they learned about working with LGBTI Probationers. Staff knew transgender and intersex Probationers have a search procedure and use the preferred pronouns when speaking with the Probationer. Staff undergoes classroom training or online courses with a test to ensure subject knowledge. The staff are also given updates when policies are adjusted, and the DOC's PREA/ADA unit puts out a monthly newsletter that refreshes staff on key issues in compliance. The policy on Training and Development (350.2) also covers the elements of the standard.

Indicator b). The training materials are developed for statewide use; as such, its curriculum addresses working with male and female victims of abuse. Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program did have one staff transfer of any employee who had worked in a female-only environment in this audit cycle. Policy 102.6 (page 4) language reinforces the DOC's expectation of gender-specific training "Such training shall be tailored to the gender of the offenders at the employee's facility. The employee shall receive additional training if the employee is reassigned from a facility that houses only male offenders to a facility that houses only female offenders, or vice versa."

Indicator c). The Virginia DOC trains individuals on an annual basis in PREA. Training records confirm information received through random staff interviews and informal questions the Auditor asked of staff during the tour. New employees still receive classroom training on PREA at the state's academy. The Auditor looked at personnel records, and training rosters to also confirm that all staff are getting PREA training regularly. Employees also report information is refreshed or updates explained in shift briefings. The Auditor also pulled a random 16 HR files of staff to review

training records.

Indicator d). The training records reviewed by the Auditor confirmed that staff signed an acknowledgment form that they understood the content of the training. The Auditor also was provided with each employee's test. Employees must receive a 100% score or must retake the questions the employee got wrong. This is done to ensure a full understanding of the staff's expectations in promoting a zero-tolerance culture and knowing how to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual harassment and sexual abuse claims. The employees also complete an acknowledgment form that lists the 10 items in indicator (a) and their continued responsibility to comply with the agency's PREA policy and the requirement to report all concerns. The Auditor was provided with training records of staff supporting further the staff training.

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor has determined the facility has appropriately trained its staff in the areas required by this standard. Facility staff were well educated in the training topics mandated in the standard by being able to give examples to the Auditor questions related to the 10 required training elements. The Auditor reviewed facility policies and procedures, training curriculums, materials, training rosters, and staff exams. The Auditor reviewed 24 current employee training records when onsite. The facility provides training more often than the requirements of this standard as it trains staff annually. The PREA/ADA unit further supports ongoing training through the publication of a monthly newsletter that reinforces PREA topics and training modules. The Auditor determined compliance based on the staff has retained the knowledge received from training, reviewed training materials, interview with PREA Compliance Manager, and staff training records.

115.232	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 027.1 Volunteer Programming
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	OP 102.6 Staff Orientation

OP 350.2 Training and Development

Training PowerPoint

Guide to Maintaining Boundaries

Memo on contractors and volunteers training

Volunteer and Contractor acknowledgment forms

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Contracted Employee Interview

Volunteer -AA

Summary determination.

Indicator a). Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has not had any volunteers in the past year. The Facility does train staff contracted staff that work with the individuals on-site. A Doctor who works at multiple facilities, confirmed with the Auditor that he receive training with the DOC. The Virginia Department of Corrections and the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program have in place a system to ensure all contractors and volunteers are trained regarding the Probationers' rights to be free from sexual abuse, the agency's zero-tolerance policy for individuals who violate such, the potential criminal charges. Policy OP 350.2 states, "Contractors and volunteers with the DOC who have contact (or could have contact) with offenders shall be trained on their responsibilities to prevent, detect, monitor and report allegations and incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment of offenders and probationers.

i. The level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with offenders, but all volunteers and contractors who have contact with offenders shall be notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents.

ii. The facility shall maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received.

iii. See Operating Procedure 027.1, Volunteer Program, for guidance on volunteer training.

iv. See Operating Procedure 160.1, Staff Orientation, for guidance on contractor training."

The Auditor was provided a sample of the information on various contractors and

volunteers get on the Prison Rape Elimination Act. SMCCAP only has 4 contractor that works with the probationer. The facility has religious, and self-help organizations that volunteer and some college interns in the past year. The Auditor spoke with a Doctor and a member of a self-help organization who both reported the training did cover PREA, probationer's rights to be free from abuse, zero-tolerance culture, and how to report a concern.
Indicator b). As noted in Indicator (a), the Virginia Department of Correction provides significant training to both its contracted and volunteer staff. The Auditor was able to confirm through the interview process that the individuals spoken with had a clear understanding of the zero-tolerance culture, how to avoid an inappropriate relationship with probationers, and how to report a concern. Contracted Employees providing direct service receive the same annual training as the DOC staff. Individuals volunteering or contractors providing limited Probationer contact services receive an orientation program that includes an overview of PREA, according to the PREA Compliance Manager.
Indicator c). The Auditor was able to review the training record of contractors. The individuals signed initial orientation forms when first allowed into the facility.
Compliance Determination:
The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is prepared to provide training to contracted employees and volunteers that is based on the level of contact with the Probationers. Individuals with more direct and frequent contact receive the same training from the department on PREA and how to report a concern. Interviews, training materials, and records support there is a process to ensure all individuals who come to the facility are educated on the Probationers' right to be free from sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and retaliation for reporting any such misconduct. The interviews also confirmed the individuals knew their requirement to report any knowledge or suspicion of such misconduct. The Auditor finds the SMCCAP to be compliant with the expectations of this standard. The determination was based on the materials reviewed, policies in place, and formal and informal interviews completed.

115.233	Resident education
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy OP-038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act.

Policy OP-810.2 Transferred Offenders and Receiving Operations

Probationer Orientation Manual

Zero Tolerance Postings

Probationer Training Outline (intake)

Probationer Training Outline (comprehensive)

Probationer acknowledgment Forms

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Interview with Intake Staff Person

Interview with Probation Officers

Interview with Probationers

Observation on tour of PREA Signage in two languages

Summary Determination:

Indicator (a) All Probationers are provided information about PREA upon admission to SMCCAP. The Probationers have often been exposed to PREA through other VA DOC facilities before their admission at SMCCAP. At intake, Probationers report being provided a description of PREA, how to protect themselves, how to report a concern, and what services are available if someone has been a victim. The Auditor was explained the admission process during the tour, including the information the intake officer goes over routinely related to PREA, the information provided in documents, and the video. The Auditor was not able to observe an intake due to no admissions but was able to confirm with Probationers that they were provided information about PREA in the first hours in the facility. In addition to written documentation about PREA that is reviewed at intake, all Probationers see a PREA educational video and have continued access to information on the site. All probationers sign when they are educated upon admission and sign the form again once they have completed the more comprehensive training.

Indicator (b) All Probationers at SMCCAP are provided with a review of the facility-

specific PREA information with their caseworker in the first few days in the facility. There is reportedly an orientation group the night a Probationer arrives that ensures they understand how the program works, including PREA. Caseworkers also report they will follow up during their initial meeting to see if there are any further questions the person was not able to ask in the group setting. The education includes the Virginia Department of Correction's Zero Tolerance toward sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Policy 940.4 states, "Each probationer/parolee will receive a complete orientation. The orientation must be completed within five working days of the probationer's/parolee's arrival. At the time of the orientation, the staff member providing orientation and the probationer/parolee must sign and date a written statement acknowledging receipt of the orientation." It goes on to state, "PREA probationer/parolee education must be presented and documented in accordance with Operating Procedure 038.3, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA).

a. Within 10 days of arrival, the probationer/parolee must receive the comprehensive PREA training using the Preventing Sexual Abuse & Sexual Assault Trainer Outline (Comprehensive), and will sign the Acknowledgement of Preventing Sexual Abuse and Sexual Assault Training 038_F4; see Operating Procedure 038.3, Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)

b. In addition to providing such education, the facility will ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats."

Random Probationers confirmed education into PREA. Probationers confirm verbally in the interviews they have received education about PREA and how to report a concern. All 195 admissions held over 72 hours in the 12-month prior were reportedly completed on time. A review of 15 spot-checked files, training documents, and Probationer interviews support compliance with the indicator.

Indicator (c) All Probationers at the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program have received an education on PREA and how to report any concern. Probationer education is documented. Random probationers confirmed that PREA was addressed at admission or upon transfer from their prior prison. There are no probationers who were in the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program before the PREA law implementation. Many random Probationers pointed to signage in the units that educate Probationers (#55) about PREA and others mentioned the PREA Brochure and the PREA Video.

Indicator (d) Education is available in multiple languages and forms from written to video to large print documents. Probationers support that they can go to staff if they need assistance in the comprehension of written or oral PREA education. SMCCAP does not currently have any individuals with significant impairments that require accommodations. The aid is available to any individual who needs assistance, including those with physical disabilities, cognitive limitations, or those who cannot

read. Many Probationers stated that PREA was not a concern, but they knew the information was available and stated some people could help, including line officers, Probation Officers, the PREA Compliance Manager, or dial #55. The Auditor saw PREA Information in two languages during the tour. The PREA Coordinator would be made aware of any disabled individuals as she also oversees ADA compliance for the DOC. The Central Region PREA Analyst is also trained in ADA compliance.

Indicator (e) As noted in indicator (b), The Auditor reviewed 15 files supporting compliance with the documentation of PREA education. Records were reviewed for a random sampling of Probationers. This supports they have received PREA education. Agency policy takes the additional step to require if any audit of the client file does not have written proof of education, the Probationer is required to undergo reeducation immediately. Probationer met with confirmed they attend the training and are required to sign an acknowledgment form are intake

Indicator (f) Agency Policy OP-810.2 Transferred Offenders and Receiving Operations states, "Each institution will ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to offenders through posters, offender handbooks, or other written formats." Observations throughout the tour support that there are materials available to Probationers continuously. The information viewed included handbooks, posters, and other signage about PREA or resources such as the local rape crisis agency. The Auditor suggested periodic video refreshers be made available to Probationers and required a secondary language copy of the handbook be added. The documentation of the Spanish Handbook was provided during the post-audit period.

Compliance Determination

PREA is a term the Probationers are familiar with at SMCCAP. Most probationers report prior education on PREA in county Jail or previous DOC stays. The Virginia Department of Corrections Policy OP 038.3 PREA-Prevention sets forth (on pages 4-5) the expectation of the timeliness of Probationer education, the manners in which education is delivered, and the requirement for materials for LEP and disabled Probationer education. Probationers at SMCCAP confirm they are educated on PREA and the zero-tolerance expectations as soon as they get to the facility. PREA information is reviewed with the Probationer during day one and in the orientation class. This class has a PREA video, and the staff running the class goes over the Probationer handbook that contains PREA information and consequences for those who violate program rules. The information reviewed is signed by the Probationer and placed in their case record. The facility has PREA educational materials continuously available to Probationers in the form of brochures and posters. This video is available in multiple languages. Probationers have access to documents that can be translated into multiple languages as needed. During interviews with

Probationers, they expressed several ways to contact the administration or outside individuals if they did not have comfort in telling the line staff. Many of the Probationers stated that PREA was not a concern at the SMCCAP. They also reported they believed any complaint would be taken seriously and investigated.
 Compliance determination considered the supporting educational documents, the Probationers' answers about training, and their knowledge about facility-specific steps for reporting a concern. Further supporting compliance is the Auditor's review of client records that showed their education and the probationer education training materials.

115.234	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit
	Policy OP 350.2 Training and Development
	Training for Institutional Investigators (PowerPoint)
	VA DOC Investigator Training
	NIC training on investigating sexual assaults in a Correctional Setting
	Investigation Matrix
	SIU Investigator Training records
	Facility Investigator's training records
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with SIU Staff
	Interview with SMCCAP Investigator
	Interview with Superintendent
	Summary Determination

Indicator (a) The Virginia Department of Corrections employs its own investigative body. The Department of Corrections employs Special Investigations Unit (SIU) Agents who are official Law Enforcement with full powers of arrest in the state of Virginia. The Virginia DOC employs criminal investigators by region to investigate unlawful conduct in the facilities, including sexual assault and sexual harassment. These individuals are required by policy OP 350.2 Training and Development, "Sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations shall only be conducted by investigators who have received special training in sexual abuse investigations. In addition to the general PREA training provided to all employees, facility investigators shall receive specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings.

Specialized training shall include:

i. Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims

ii. Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings

iii. Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings iv. Criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral."

DOC SIU Agents have received training in completing investigations consistent with the Virginia statutes and DOC policy. The Department of Corrections has a cadre of 19 SIU staff members trained on how to complete sexual assault investigations in the correctional setting. In addition to SIU, the facility's Major has also completed specialized training on investigating PREA allegations in the facility. The Virginia policy has the facility investigators make an initial assessment of the situation unless the allegation is clearly criminal, and SIU would immediately be called. The facility investigator will respond to all allegations to ensure in the case of a criminal act, the scene and evidence are protected until the criminal investigator arrives.

Indicator (b) The Virginia Department of Corrections has two training resources to ensure staff understands how to complete sexual assault or harassment investigations in a correctional setting. The Agency utilizes both the National Institute of Corrections online course PREA: Investigating Sexual Assault in a Confinement Setting and agency developed course. The The agency course, reviewed by the Auditor, contained all the relevant topics required in this standard and was developed in conjunction with the Moss Group. The interview with the trained investigators confirmed the training covered how to communicate with a victim of sexual assault, the use of Miranda and Garrity Warnings, proper steps in the collection and preservation of evidence, and the factors in making a determination of substantiation for administrative action or prosecutorial referral.

Indicator (c) Training records were provided for onsite staff who complete

investigations and for 19 staff from throughout the Department of Corrections who would complete criminal and administrative investigations at SMCCAP, including the investigator interviewed by the Auditor. Policy OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit (page 10) states, "The PREA Compliance Manager shall maintain documentation that the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations has been completed by the investigators." The Auditor was provided documentation for the statewide SIU employees and the current facility investigator.
Indicator (d) The Auditor is not required to review this indicator
Compliance Determination:
The Virginia Department of Corrections ensures that staff who complete investigations have received appropriate specialized training on investigating sexual assault in a correctional setting. Documents and interviews support that the facility's investigators are trained in the requirements of a PREA-related investigation. Given the number of DOC-trained SIU Agents, the level of professional investigative training provided to the staff, and the interview with the facility's trained Investigator, the Auditor finds the facility meets the standard expectations. The Auditor made a compliance determination based on policy, training materials provided, and the interview with facility and SIU investigators. There were no incidents to review to compare the training's practical application.

115.235	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy 102.6 Staff Orientation
	Policy 350.2 Training and Development
	Policy 701.1 Health Service Administration
	Policy 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment and Care
	NIC Courses for Medical and Behavioral Health Staff on Working with Victims in Corrections
	NIC Certificates

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Medical Staff

Mental Health Staff

Mary Washington Hospital Staff

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program employs the services limited medical services onsite. Mental Health Services are by referral or treatment plan and there is one QMHP for SMCCAP. The agency trains healthcare staff with the use of the National Institute of Corrections courses on PREA-specific considerations for the medical and behavioral health staff. Included in the training materials was information that the training addressed signs and symptoms of abuse, communication with a victim, how to report an allegation, and how to preserve evidence. Interviews with nursing staff support awareness that they should not clean any injuries and only treat critical health concerns before being transported to the hospital for a rape kit. Medical and Mental Health staff knew who to report PREA concerns to in the facility and within their supervision chain. Supporting documentation considered included the facility's PREA response plan. Agency policy also covers the language of the standard. "The Health Authority and/ or Institutional Training Officer will document that

all full and part-time medical and mental health staff who work regularly in DOC facilities receive specialized training in:

1. How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

2. How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse.

3. How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

4. How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment."

Interviews also support that the healthcare staff are trained to support the individual once the initial forensic exam has been completed. The nurse confirmed she would follow up with the Probationer if they had refused any of the initial treatment recommendations at the hospital. The mental health staff supported they would also provide frequent check-in and assessment post a sexual abuse incident.

Indicator (b) The staff does not complete a forensic exam. Discussions with the Mary

Washington Hospital confirmed the availability to have trained nurses to perform sexual assault exams.
Indicator (c) Documentation was provided to the Auditor for the healthcare staff confirming the specialized training was completed. The Auditor reviewed the training materials and considered the staff's knowledge of the materials.
Indicator (d) A review of the training record and the interview with staff confirms that all healthcare staff received the same training as the DOC employees annually as well as the training described in 115.32. DOC training records further support compliance. Policy 102.6 states, "Medical and mental health care practitioners must also receive the training mandated for employees or contractors and volunteers depending upon the practitioner's status in the DOC." Records of the training were provided for both Medical and Mental Health.
Compliance Determination:
Medical and Mental Health staff who work at Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program have taken the required specialized course through the NIC and can attest to the information they learned. The Auditor is familiar with the course content, having reviewed it in previous audits. The training materials and interviewed staff support they were trained in how to respond appropriately to sexual assault victims. The Auditor met formally with the healthcare staff at SMCCAP to ask questions as well as with staff on tour. Medical and Mental Health staff knew to whom to report allegations and suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Medical staff will not do forensic medical examinations but are aware of how to protect evidence and what facilities they would refer Probationers to for an exam by a SAFE or SANE if needed. Policies reviewed by the Auditor to determine compliance along with interviews, a review of the training program for Medical and Mental Health Staff, and training records figured into the compliance determination. The Auditor also took into consideration the availability of SAFE nurses in the local hospital.

115.241	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy OP 730.2 Screening Assessment and Classification

Policy OP 810.1 Offender Reception and Classification

Policy OP 810.3 Transferred Offender receiving and Orientation

Policy OP 861.1 Offender Discipline

Client Classification Screenings

Client Reassessments

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

Interviews with medical staff.

Interview with Intake and Screening staff

Interview with Superintendent

Observation on tour

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) All Probationers who are admitted or transferred to Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program will be assessed with an objective screening. This requirement is outlined in policy OP 810.1 (pages 5), which states, "Within 24 hours of arrival, prior to bed assignment, a Classification Assessment will be completed in VACORIS for each new offender entering the DOC and housing assignments made accordingly." The policy goes on to state, "Utilizing the results of the Classification Assessment in VACORIS and available offender records, staff will screen the offender for potential vulnerabilities or tendencies for acting out with sexually aggressive or other violent behavior, and will interview and evaluate the offender for High-Risk Sexual Aggressor (HRSA) and/or High-Risk Sexual Victim (HRSV) tendencies." Policy OP 810.2 sets forth the same requirements for individuals who are transferred in the DOC system on page 4. Evidence supporting that Probationers are screened was provided in the Online Audit System, showing completions over there month of admissions. Some resident are admissions from county jail while other have gone to treatment slots in another DOC facility and are transferred to SMCCAP as they prepare for release.

Indicator (b) The Policy stated in indicator (a) sets forth an obligation for the

screening to be completed sooner than the standard requirement. The Virginia DOC requires the screening to be completed in the first 24 hours, which is exceeds the standard expectations. The review of the screening reports supports this practice standard is met. The probationer spoken with also confirmed they are met in the first 24 hours after they are admitted. The intake staff confirmed that the screening would be done the next morning if transfers arrived late from other parts of the state. The Auditor also selected 15 files to review on-site from the current population and upload to the OAS. 100% of the files reviewed were completed on time.

Indicator (c) The Virginia DOC developed a screening of probationers for potential risk of sexual violence or sexual victimization. The Screening uses an objective tool utilizing information from the Probationer's criminal records, information from other correctional settings, and the client's self-reported information. The Auditor was provided with the materials on administering and scoring the tool to ensure that the application is objective. The screening information has been put into VACORIS an electronic case management system. The Auditor also asked the Intake officer to show the process by which the questions were asked and other sources of information considered in scoring the tool. Files were reviewed in advance of the audit, and the Auditor requested a random sampling of files on-site, as noted in indicator (a). Since there were no admission on the day of the interview, the Auditor relied on interviews with the screener. The Probation Officer described how she completes the screening process. The tool and the description of how information is gathered and scored support an objective process that allows anyone trained to come to the same scoring outcomes. The PREA policy also sets forth an assessment of the probationer's risk. It states, "Utilizing the results of the offender's classification Assessment in VACORIS and available offender records, all offenders are screened for potential vulnerabilities or tendencies for acting out with sexually aggressive or other violent behavior at intake, transfer, and as needed."

Indicator (d) A review of the objective tool used in Virginia DOC facilities shows that it accounts for all 10 elements required in this indicator. There are 28 different questions that score an individual as High-Risk Sexual Aggressor (HRSA) or High-Risk Sexual Victim (HRSV). The Virginia DOC PREA Policy states the following regarding the PREA screening process. "The Classification Assessment must be approved within 72 hours of the inmate's arrival at the institution and will include a review of the following factors: (§115.41[b], §115.41[e])

- a. History of assaultive behavior
- b. Potential for victimization
- c. History of prior victimization
- d. Special medical or mental health status

e. Escape history

f. Age

g. Enemies or inmate keep separate information

h. Any other related information."

Indicator (e). The Probation Officer stated the tool asks questions about the probationer's history of violence, including sexual abusiveness. The Virginia DOC screening tool does consider the offender's history of violence or sexual abusiveness in the community and prior institutional settings. The PREA Compliance Manager and the Probation Officer interviewed about screenings reported if the Inmate has an incident in the current institution, they would be reassessed which could change their scoring. The agency screening guidelines remind staff that Inmates can be both a high risk to be a victim of sexual abuse (HRSV) and a high risk to be a sexual aggressor (HRSA). The agency practice is to follow the guideline of HRSA when the Inmate scores positive for both status measures. The Auditor was also able to see the HRSA/ HRSV screens from VACORIS (the state's electronic case management system) when identifying the target population for interviews. The current population of the SMCCAP had no individual who scored HRSA in the low level security facility. The Auditor's review of the tool supports they considered behaviors that occurred in the community and prisons.

Indicator (f) The VA DOC policy 810.1 requires assessment within 21 days instead of the standards requirement of within 30 days. The Policy states "Within 21 days from the offender's arrival at the institution, staff will meet with the offender and will reassess the offender's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the institution since the intake screening.

i. The PREA Reassessment must be completed no sooner than 14 days and no later than 21 days after the offender's arrival at the institution.

ii. Completion of the Reassessment must be documented as a PREA Reassessment in the Facility Notes section of VACORIS.

iii. The PREA Reassessment will be scanned and uploaded as an external document to the corresponding PREA Reassessment note.

Of the 15 random files pulled by the Auditor on-site, the Auditor found that all files were completed on time for both initial and the reassessment for those individuals who were in the program 30 days or longer. Some residents state at the SMCCAP before going out to another facility for treatment. After they complete that stay they may return to this or another CCAP program to seek employments and preparations for full release back to the community. The Auditor spoke with the Probation Officers who complete intake screening and reassessments who were aware that they need to meet this guide on all transfers as well as all new admissions.

Indicator (g) The Auditor asked the screening staff why a reassessment would occur. The probationer would be reassessed if they were either the victim or the perpetrator of sexual violence if they engaged in consensual sex in violation of facility rules if additional information becomes known that would affect the scoring. Policy OP 730.2 Screening Assessment and Classification states, "An offender's risk level must be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness." There have been no reasons in the past year that an individual needed to be reassessed at SMCCAP. If the facility had an HRSA individual, the policy requires an annual reassessment. "Mental Health staff will complete an annual follow-up to monitor and assess the current level of functioning, risk, and needs for those offenders who are designated HRSA." Mental Health is available as needed through med calls or those who have requested ongoing support.

Indicator (h) The Auditor confirmed that probationers are not disciplined for refusing to answer questions or not disclosing information as part of the screening process. The Auditor spoke with a Probation Officer, who completes the initial screening and completes the re-assessment. A random sampling of probationers also confirmed you could not get in trouble for not answering these questions.

Indicator (i) The Virginia Department of Corrections completes the screening information in its electronic case management system. The system limits who may have access to the screening information, especially the client's more sensitive information. Disclosures made in the Medical or Mental health record are completely siloed from the custody staff. Limited information is shared through the Unit management structure to ensure safety but critical information that might be used to exploit an Probationer is kept to a limited few individuals. The PREA screening results can only be seen by the Probation Officers (case managers), the Lieutenants who make housing movement decisions, and the upper administration.

Compliance Determination:

The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program ensures all probationers are screened for risk of sexual victimization or sexual abusiveness. The policy requires that all Probationers be screened initially within 24 hours and reassessed within 14-21 days. The Agency has in place the ability, when warranted, to reassess a Probationer because of a request, incident of sexual misconduct, or receipt of additional information that bears on the prisoner's risk of sexual vulnerability or sexual violence. VACORIS the Virginia DOC electronic case file
system provides security limiting individual's access to scoring. The objective tool
was developed by Virginia DOC and has clear guidelines for its use. The tool
accounts for all factors required in indicators (d) and (e). The screening staff
confirmed probationers could not be punished for refusing to answer questions
about sexuality, prior victimization, and vulnerability. Interviews with staff and
probationers further support that the appropriate questions are being asked.
Compliance was determined based on the sample screens reviewed consistent with
the required content. The probationers confirm questions asked are consistent with
the described screening and reassessment process. The facility and the VA PREA
Office discovered and resolved a concern of the timeliness of screenings consistent
with the standard. The Auditor finds the facility has resolved this concern as evident

115.242	Use of screening information
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Policy OP 730.2 MHWS Screening, Assessment and Classification
	Policy OP 940.4 Community Corrections Alternative Program
	Client Classification Screenings
	Client Reassessments
	HRSA/HRSV reports in CORIS
	Memos on PREA Scoring
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
	Interview with Intake Officer
	Interview with Random Staff
	Interview with random Probationers

Population report

Observation on tour

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) The Virginia DOC PREA policy OP 038.3 addresses prevention efforts and covers the 5 elements of this standard indicator (Pages 6-7). "Facility staff will use information from the offender's Classification Assessment in determining appropriate housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments with the goal of keeping separate those offenders at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive. Staff will make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each offender." The PREA screen used at SMCCAP provides immediate assistance in determining the appropriate housing unit and bed placement for any new Probationer. If an individual is as a high risk of known perpetrator of sexual offenses, they would be prohibited from being placed in the same unit as an individual with a known victim history. The shift commander would reportedly place individuals who are likely to be victimized in bunks closest to the housing officer's desk. Through a multi-disciplined team, unit staff determines when a probationer is ready to transition to work or programming. The team would review where a potential conflict would be identified. The Auditor was provided with examples of the report available in VACORIS that breaks out individuals who score as high risk for sexual aggression or being victimized. SMCCAP has only two units but the program does not often get individuals who score HRSA and HRSV at the same time. As a low-level facility, individuals with recent aggressive histories would unlikely be placed in a community confinement facility.

Indicator (b) As noted in the policy statement in indicator (a) the safety of the Probationers is considered throughout the Probationer's stay. The facility has staff Probation staff regularly meet individuals allowing for informal check-ins where safety concerns could be disclosed. The staff interviewed identified the importance of being able to identify when the behaviors change. The random probationers reported they could reach out to the PREA Compliance Manager if they had any individual needs/concerns. Interviews with staff also confirm they would act if the probationers voiced concerns. During the initial screening process, Probationers are asked about their perception of safety by custody and medical staff. Probationers also have an opportunity to discuss concerns about mental health with case management staff during the reassessment period.

Indicator (c) Currently, the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has zero transgender or intersex individuals. The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is a single-gender male community correctional facility. Transgender probationers in the population would be housed in a bunk that provides the greatest staff observation are housed in general population beds. Agency policy states, "In deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex offender to a facility for male or female offenders and in making other housing and programming assignments for transgender and intersex offenders; staff will take into consideration whether an assignment would ensure the offender's health and safety and whether the assignment would present management or security problems. A transgender or intersex offender's view with respect to their own safety will be given serious consideration." The decision on where to house an individual who had previously disclosed their transgender or intersex status would be determined at a DOC administrative level. Any individual who discloses their status at SMCCAP will be protected and will work with facility management to discuss steps to keep them safe. The Virginia DOC PREA Office would also be informed. Agency policy addresses the indicator also in policy 940.4. "Housing and programming assignments for transgender or intersex probationers/parolees will be made on a case-by-case basis and will take into consideration whether a placement would ensure the probationer's/parolee's health and safety and whether the placement would present management or security problems." The Agency PREA Coordinator provided a description of the process that the department undertakes in reviewing at an agency level the most appropriate housing options for Transgender and Intersex Individuals. The central office committee meets reportedly at least quarterly to review transgender and intersex inmates' and probationers' facility assignments. The committee includes medical and mental health care leadership of the Virginia Department of Corrections.

Indicator (d) The facility has not had a transgender person and as a result, there have been no meetings. Facility management, including the Superintendent and the PREA Compliance Manager, are aware of the expectations.

Indicator (e) Agency PREA Coordinator confirmed that a transgender Probationer would be allowed to make requests as to housing programming searches, medication, and personal items to improve their overall comfort in the facility. As Probationers progress in their treatment, the multi-disciplinary team would continue to assess the most appropriate housing. The agency also expects for the QMHP to meet and assess needs. Policy 730.2 states, "The Psychology Associate will notify facility staff responsible for making housing and programming assignments for transgender or intersex inmates of any relevant screening results that would present management or security considerations so staff, on a case-by-case basis, can make a determination that best ensures the inmate's health and safety." Policy 940.4, consistent with standard language, states, "A transgender or intersex probationer's/parolee's own views with respect to their own safety will be given serious consideration."

Indicator (f) DOC Policy 038.3 requires that transgender Probationers can shower

separately from other Probationers. Shift commanders report transgender and intersex individuals who would be allowed to shower during the count when movements are most controlled. Policy 940.4 states, "Transgender and intersex probationers/parolees will be given the opportunity to shower separately from other probationers/parolees." There have been no transgender or intersex individuals at SMCCAP in the past year.

Indicator (g) The Virginia Department of Correction does not, by policy, practice, or legal requirement, house all LGBTI Probationers in one housing unit. There is no legal judgment requiring such a condition to exist. The policy prohibits this action "Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex offenders will not be placed in a dedicated facility, housing unit, or wing solely on the basis of such identification or status" (OP 038.3). This was confirmed with interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, random staff, and probationers. The Auditor reviewed the overall population but there were no identified LGBTI individuals in the population to assess practice. Supervisors report that someone's Sexual Orientation Gender Identity Expression (SOGIE) status itself is not a factor for housing placement.

Compliance Determination

Virginia DOC Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act described the use of the PREA Screening tool in Indicators (a) and (b). Policies OP 940.4 and OP 730.2 further address standard requirements. All individuals entering SMCCAP are asked how they feel about their safety, which helps guide housing placement and programming. The Auditor confirmed with the PREA Coordinator and the Superintendent that multidisciplinary teams would meet to discuss each transgender Probationer's needs and preferences. During the tour and subsequent movement, the Auditor was able to see how transgender probationers would have privacy during shower use. The standard is determined to be compliant based on policy, supporting documents, and interviews with probationers and staff. The Auditor finds that practices are in place to use screening information and there is good communication about those at risk.

115.251	Resident reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy OP 038.1 Reporting Serious or Unusual Incidents

Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act

Policy OP 803.3 Offender Telephone Services

Policy OP 801.6 Offender Service

Policy OP 866.1, Offender Grievance Procedure, Institutions

Memo on no reported incidents

VA PREA Brochure

SMCCAP Handbook

PREA Posters

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Interview with Random Staff

Interview with Random Probationers

Observation on a tour of reporting information

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) The Virginia DOC has multiple policies that address the concerns of this standard indicator. The Probationer reporting section of the PREA policy (OP 038.3) states, "Inmates and CCAP probationers/parolees can report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, inmate and CCAP probationer/parolee retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents to any staff member including chaplains, medical, mental health or counseling staff, security staff, or administrators" It goes on to state, "Inmates and CCAP probationers/parolees will not be required to report sexual assault to the immediate point-of-contact line officer only; an inmate or CCAP probationer/parolee may report a sexual assault to any staff member using any available method to include:

i. Verbally in person to a staff member or through another third party who can assist the inmate or CCAP probationer/parolee in filing requests for administrative remedies

ii. Verbally through the inmate and CCAP probationer/parolee telephone system sexual assault hotline number #55

iii. Written using a Facility Request 801_F3 or other type of written

document; see Operating Procedure 801.6, Inmate and CCAP Probationer/Parolee Services.

(a) Inmates can submit a written report through the Offender Grievance Procedure using the Written Complaint 866_F3, Regular Grievance 866_F1, or Emergency Grievance 866_F4; see Operating Procedure 866.1, Offender Grievance Procedure.

(b) CCAP probationers/parolees can submit a written report through the complaint process; see Offender Complaints, Community Corrections. d. There is no time limit on when an inmate or CCAP probationer/parolee may submit a Complaint, Written Complaint, or Regular Grievance, regarding an allegation of sexual abuse; see Operating Procedure 866.1, Offender Grievance Procedure and Operating Procedure 866.2, Offender Complaints, Community Corrections" The policy directs staff and Probationers on the ability to report sexual harassment, sexual abuse, or staff neglect that contributed to abuse. Staff interviewed knew they had to report all allegations of abuse or harassment and any coworker's action or inaction that led to sexual misconduct against a probationer. Random probationers' interviews confirmed that they know there are multiple ways to report a concern within the facility or to the Department of Corrections Central Office. Probationers knew of the postings and options to report a concern including directly to a staff they trust, to any case manager or medical or mental health staff, by writing the Superintendent, or by calling the PREA 'hotline' (#55). Residents supported access to writing materials to be able to mail internal or external individuals. The facility will provide postage to indigent residents. Residents are made aware if the calls are recorded or not and if unsure could make calls when they are at work in the community. The Probationer Handbook also provides them with the phone number and address of the Central Region PREA/ADA Analyst.

Indicator (b) The Virginia Department of Corrections has set up a way for Probationers can report a PREA concern to an outside agency. The phone numbers to access the rape crisis agency Action Alliance are painted on walls prominently in each housing unit. The PREA Poster available has the address of Action Alliance if they do not feel comfortable reporting to DOC staff. Probationers were aware of these options and stated they could call attorneys or family members to report a concern. The probationers were also confident that if a family member called to report a concern, the staff would take it seriously and investigate it. As noted, Action Alliance has set up, with the DOC, a reporting line and a treatment/support line. The Auditor tried the # 55 line from a housing unit which prompts you to either press 1 to report a complaint or 2 to speak with a rape crisis advocate. The Auditor called the Hotline and the state PREA Analyst confirmed he received a notification. The Auditor confirmed with Action Alliance that the reporting process allows them to report all concerns while allowing the individual to remain anonymous. By allowing the Probationer to choose to report a concern separate from seeking emotional support, they can report the complaints back to the DOC for investigation. The Auditor also spoke with the local RCC, the Rappahannock Council Against Sexual Assault. The representative confirmed they had not received any calls from any

SMCCAP residents on their hotline.

Indicator (c) Interviews confirm consistent with agency policy (OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act page 8-9) that all staff take any report of a PREA-related incident seriously and report the concern to a superior or the facility investigator. "Staff must accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and must promptly document verbal reports as an Internal Incident Report with PREA checked in the description field." Random staff knew that they had to report the claim no matter the source of information, including anonymous notes. The staff reported that any claim, even if they thought it did not occur, needed to be reported and documented in writing. The staff also confirmed that they were required to file a written report on the claim after giving notice to a supervisor. Finally, the staff also confirmed they had to report on a fellow employee's actions or failure to act that leads to a sexual assault. Policy 038.1 Reporting Serious or Unusual incidents also addresses the indicator. "Staff must accept reports made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties and must promptly document verbal reports as an Internal Incident Report with PREA checked in the description field."

Indicator (d) The Virginia Department of Correction provides several avenues for staff to report a concern of sexual assault or sexual harassment. Beyond reporting an incident to their immediate supervisor, if the staff had a concern about the supervisor or another staff being involved with a probationer, they report to another supervisor or a higher-ranking individual. The staff can make a report using either the posted phone numbers, Human Resources, the Superintendent or the Virginia DOC PREA Coordinator. Staff interviews confirmed they were aware of multiple avenues to report a concern. The staff knew they could report out of the chain of command without consequences.

Compliance Determination:

Virginia Department of Corrections has several policies that promote staff and probationer reporting. Interviews with staff were consistent in their understanding of their duties of accepting and responding to all reports of sexual assault or sexual harassment, whether it was done verbally, in writing, anonymously, or by a third party (indicator (c). Probationers interviewed were aware of multiple ways they could report, including telling staff, calling the hotline, mailing administration or the rape crisis agency. Posters are seen on the housing units during the tour, directing probationers to call or write Action Alliance to report a concern or to seek emotional support. Probationers spoken to formally and on tour reported comfort in speaking with staff, especially the facility PREA Compliance Manager if they had a concern. Custody staff reported knowing how to privately report PREA concerns to the administration and that there is no problem reporting out of the chain of command. The Auditor finds compliance with standard provisions based on the policy, documentation provided and viewed on tour, and the interview of random staff,

probationers, Rape Crisis representatives, PREA Compliance Manager, and PREA
Analyst. The Auditors also successfully tested the reporting phone system with the
regional PREA Analyst who was notified of the call. Because probationers go out into
the community they also can report concerns when away from the institution.

115.252	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Policy OP 866.1 Offender Grievance Procedure, Institutions
	SMCCAP Investigation Chart
	Memos from Superintendent
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with facility PREA Monitor
	Interview with PREA Office staff
	Interview with Superintendent
	Interview with Grievance Officer
	Interview with Random Probationers
	Observation on tour
	Summary Determination
	Indicator (a) The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is not exempt from the standard; Probationers can file a grievance on conditions that violate their rights or prison rules. Sexual misconduct is a reason for which a probationer can file a grievance. "All offenders in each Community Corrections Unit must be advised that they have complaint/grievance procedures including at least one level of appeal available to them." The Superintendent reports no grievance forms were filed for sexual assault or sexual harassment allegations.

Indicator (b) Agency policy and client handbooks support the Probationer can file a grievance to a person who is not the subject of the grievance, and there is not a requirement to resolve the situation through an informal process. Agency policy OP 866.1 Offender Grievance Procedure, Institutions sets forth language consistent with the standard. The policy denotes, "The Offender Grievance Procedure is one way in which offenders can privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other offenders or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and/or any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Staff are prohibited from interfering in any part of the complaint or grievance process and from retaliating against an individual for use and/or participation in the Offender Grievance Procedure." The policy also states, "There is no time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment"

Staff are directed in the grievance policy to accept and report all allegations of sexual misconduct. "Staff will accept any report of PREA-related issues and immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Facility Unit Head and facility PREA Compliance Manager. If applicable, an internal incident report checked PREA will be submitted in accordance with Operating Procedure 038.1, Reporting Serious or Unusual Incidents."

Indicator (c) The facility has mailboxes where probationers can submit confidential letters to the PREA Compliance Manager or the Superintendent. They can also write the state PREA Coordinator Office at the DOC headquarters. Probationers interviewed report mail or grievances to be the less common way to report than telling staff or dialing #55.

Indicator (d) Policy OP 866.1, Offender Grievance Procedure, Institutions sets forth the requirements for response and appeal consistent with the standard. The Grievance response times are spelled out in the policy. The Agency policy describes a process that should be completed in a total time of under 180 days. The facility management report they will treat PREA allegations in an expedited fashion.

"PREA Exception to Informal Complaint Process

1. An offender is not required to use the informal complaint process or otherwise attempt to resolve with staff any alleged incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. (§115.52[b(3)])

2. Staff must accept all offender allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment reported through the informal complaint process and must immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Facility Unit Head and the PREA Compliance Manager. (§115.51[c]).

3. Staff must forward Written Complaints alleging sexual abuse or sexual assault to the PREA Compliance Manager for investigation; the written response must be "This matter has been forwarded for investigation to the PREA Compliance Manager". The PREA Compliance Manager must notify the Regional PREA Analyst." There were no grievances to review the timeliness of responses.

Indicator (e) The grievance policy states probationers may be assisted in filing the grievance by any staff person or by any other person with whom the prisoner is permitted to have contact. "Third Party Assistance - Third parties must be able to assist offenders in completing grievances relating to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and must be permitted to file such requests on behalf of offenders. (§115.51[c],§115.52[e]) a. If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an offender, the offender must agree to have the request filed on their behalf. i. If the offender does not agree, staff must document the decision and the grievance must not be accepted. ii. If the offender does agree, assistance from fellow offenders or staff members may continue through all stages that remain. b. Any third party filing of a request related to allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment must be forwarded to the PREA Compliance Manager" Staff were also aware they need to accept all complaints or grievances from third-party individuals be it other Probationers or other interested parties.

Indicator (f) Policy OP 866.1 describes the provisions for an emergency grievance.

"Emergency Grievance Process (§115.52[f(1)])

1. Any offender who has a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse or whose current situation or condition subjects them to an immediate risk of serious personal injury or irreparable harm should immediately notify staff for assistance.

2. The offender can access the emergency grievance process by submitting their issue on the Emergency Grievance 866_F4, for an expedited response.

3. Emergency grievances that are not resolved to the inmate's satisfaction may then be filed as a Regular Grievance. An inmate must exhaust this administrative remedy when originally filing an emergency grievance, prior to seeking Judicial Relief."

The forms have tracking numbers to allow for systematic review by the administration and prevent them from being diverted. There were no incidents in which an emergency grievance was filed in the last 12 months. Discussions with administration support that allegations of recent sexual abuse would be handled as an emergency grievance and be investigated by trained investigators.

Indicator (g) Virginia Department of Corrections states Probationers can only be
disciplined if, through an investigative process, it is substantiated that the grievance
was filed in bad faith. This is the same standard for all PREA complaints filed even if
they are not through the grievance process. The facility grievance policy covers this
language. "There shall be no reprisal against any offender for complaints submitted
in good faith." There were no grievances filed that were alleged to have been filed
in bad faith.

Compliance Determination

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is not exempt from the exhaustion of administrative remedies. The Virginia Department of Corrections has a policy in place that covers the Probationers at SMCCAP rights to seek administrative resolutions. There were no instances in which an emergency grievance was filed related to sexual abuse. Probationers interviewed knew they could file a PREA-related concern through the grievance process but acknowledged it would not be as quick in resolving as telling a staff person directly or calling the PREA Hotline. Probationers report they can get assistance from other probationers in completing forms if needed. Compliance determination relied on the policy and interviews with the PREA Office staff, the Superintendent, the PREA Compliance Manager, and random probationers who were aware of the grievance process as a possible avenue to report a Sexual Misconduct concern.

115.253	Resident access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	MOUs with Action Alliance
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

Interview with Superintendent

Interview with Action Alliance staff

Interview with Rappahannock Council Against Sexual Assault representative

Interviews with Random Probationers

Signage reporting PREA in multiple languages

Observation on tour

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) Virginia Department of Corrections policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act requires on page 13 the agency ensures a current MOU with a rape crisis organization. "The DOC maintains a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a community service provider who is able to provide offenders with access to free, confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse. A copy of this agreement is available from the PREA/ADA Supervisor." The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program provides access to the local rape crisis agency. Action Alliance will provide phone support and assign staff or work with other local providers if the Probationer requests face-to-face support. The Agency's employees are considered professional visitor status, which allows for confidential communication. Probationers can communicate by phone to Action Alliance utilizing #55 on the unit phones which will not record the conversation. The Auditor confirmed with the local rape crisis agency, the Rappahannock Council Against Sexual Assault, that they have a working relationship with Action Alliance and the local hospital. Given the distance from Richmond, Action Alliance may ask the local RCC to provide any in-person support. The local RCC reports experience providing emotional support with the local hospital that SMCCAP residents would go. The Rappahannock representative confirmed that their staff can also provide support during investigatory interviews and can make referrals to individuals who leave the area after release. The Virginia Department of Corrections does also provide ongoing mental health services to individuals in their facilities.

Indicator (b) All Probationers interviewed understood that calls to the Hotline would be reported back to the institution. If a probationer dials #55 and chooses option two, they can have confidential communication which will not necessarily be reported. Probationers were aware the phone calls were not recorded if they called the rape crisis agency. The Auditor confirmed with probationers and advocacy organizations that professional visit opportunities would allow for a more open dialog. Virtual mental health meetings currently occur at the facility as needed.

Indicator (c) The Department of Correction has a Memorandum of Understanding with Action Alliance which covers the Stafford Mens Community Corrections

Alternative Program. The agreement is renewable. The Auditor was able to review MOUs dating back to 2014 and the annual renewal of the agreement from 2015 through the current contract that expires in 2023.

Compliance Determination:

Probationer victims at SMCCAP can access victim advocates for emotional support. The agency has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Action Alliance of Richmond, Virginia, to provide support to victims (Indicator (c). Action Alliance is part of a Coalition of Sexual Assault and domestic violence services. As part of the audit process, the Auditor spoke by phone to an Action Alliance representative, who confirmed their ability to provide service at DOC facilities. The agency Investigator knew about the importance of offering the support of Action Alliance and its affiliates during the investigation. The PREA Brochure and signage at the facility had a toll-free number for Probationers to access from the unit phone in the facility. Requirements for compliance with this standard are covered by agency policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act. In determining compliance, the Auditor also considered interviews with the Rape Crisis agencies and the probationer's knowledge of accessing services. Probationers could identify how confidential the communication is within the facility, including mail and telephone contacts. Probationers knew that outside counseling staff could be spoken to in a professional visiting setting. The Auditor could see on the tour posters for Action Alliance.

Third party reporting
Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
Auditor Discussion
Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
Virginia DOC Website (third-party reporting)
PREA Posters on Housing units
information of the PREA report Hotline
forms for third-party reporting
Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator

Random Staff Interviews

Observation on tour

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) Virginia Department of Correction has developed a mechanism for individuals who want to report PREA concerns as a third party; be they fellow Probationers, family, or friends. Information can be given in person, by phone, email, US mail, or by contacting the agency PREA Coordinator through the agency website VADOC.Virginia.Gov. There is information directing Probationers in the PREA brochure, PREA poster, and on the website noted above. The staff was aware that they must take all reported concerns about PREA potential violations, including third parties. The facility phones allow for Probationers to dial out to the advocates free of charge. The agency PREA policy addresses the standard, "Third parties including other offenders, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates are permitted to assist offenders in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse and are also permitted to file such requests on behalf of offenders.

a. If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an offender, the alleged victim must agree to have the request filed on their behalf, as a condition of processing the request. The alleged victim will also be required to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.

b. If the offender declines to have the request processed on their behalf, facility staff must document the offender's decision.

c. Contact information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an offender is provided on the DOC public website."

The SMCCAP has had no reported third-party reporting of sexual misconduct concerns. Signage in the facility, information in the probationer handbook and on the website supported informing individuals about third-party reporting. The Auditor recommended the facility to increase the signage in the visiting area even though every visitor is offered PREA information upon entry to the facility.

Compliance Determination:

Virginia Department of Corrections has put in place multiple resources for Probationers and families to report a PREA-related concern. The PREA Office is responsible for fielding all calls and emails, including third-party sources. As part of the audit process, the PREA Auditor tested the unit Phones to ensure the phone numbers on the poster could be accessed. Compliance was based on policy and the systems VA DOC has put in place to support the probationers. Random staff interviews further supported compliance as they knew that they needed to report all third-party complaints no matter the source. The probationers interviewed

confirmed they could report a PREA concern on behalf of another resident. Finally,
the Auditor considered the several options listed on the state's website for filing a
PREA Complaint and the annual report that delineate the number of calls by region
and facility.

115.261	Staff and agency reporting duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 038.1 Reporting Important or Serious Incidents
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	OP 730.2 MHS Screening, Assessment and Classification
	OP 801.6 Offender Services
	Virginia Department of Social Services Website
	Virginia Laws on vulnerable adults- State Website
	Memos confirming no reports
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Random Probationers
	Random Staff
	Superintendent
	Medical Staff
	PREA Compliance Manager
	SIU and Facility Investigators
	Summary determination.
	Indicator a). The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has trained its staff and contractors, on the importance of reporting all allegations of

sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and any forms of retaliation against individuals who reported or cooperated in an investigation of such misconduct. Several policies direct staff on such expectations. PREA policy OP 038.3 utilizes the language of the standard to set forth this expectation. It reads, "Any employee, volunteer, or contractor shall immediately report to their supervisor or the officer in charge any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the DOC; retaliation against offenders or staff who reported such an incident; and any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation." Interviews with random staff supported an understanding of this expectation. They knew that they had to forward all allegations no matter the source or their personal beliefs as to the validity of the claim. Lacking any allegations, the Auditor could not review any incident reports to support compliance.

Indicator b). The Department of Corrections policy OP-038.1 Reporting Important or Serious Incidents (page 5) states, "Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, any information related to a sexual abuse report shall not be revealed to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in operating procedures, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions." Random staff interviewed were able to voice the expectation of keeping the information confidential. They verbalized the need to involve only the key management and investigative staff necessary to obtain help and contain any evidence. The Auditor asked the random staff as part of the description of first responder duties.

Indicator c). Medical and mental health services providers in Virginia have a duty to report incidents of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or information that would prevent such actions. Policy OP 730.2 states, "Before beginning the Sexual Assault Assessment, the QMHP will advise the offender of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality and that such information may be available to the facility administration in the context of an investigation in accordance with Operating Procedure 730.6, Mental Health Services: Confidentiality". The Auditor confirmed with medical staff that Probationers are made aware of the limits of confidentiality. Random probationers were asked if they understood the limits to confidentiality when speaking to medical or mental health staff. The probationers acknowledged they understood if the information was related to the potential risk to them or another individual, the information would be disclosed to facility investigators.

•

Indicator d). The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program does not serve individuals under the age of 18. Agency and Facility management and investigators were aware that abuse of individuals who are considered vulnerable adults must be reported to the State Department of Social Services. The Auditor confirmed with investigators that abuse toward these targeted populations would be reported to the appropriate state agency and that there are additional charges that may be applied in cases where the victim met the definition of a vulnerable adult. The Auditor reviewed various Virginia websites that define the expectation of reporting abuse and the legal ramifications for the perpetrators of such misconduct. The Superintendent confirmed that no case in the last 12 months had to be reported to the Department of Social Services.

Indicator e). The Superintendent, PREA Compliance Manager, and facility Investigators confirmed that all allegations of sexual misconduct are reported to the facilities intelligence unit to initiate an investigation of the claim. If information supports a criminal act has occurred, the agency's Special Investigation Unit is then involved. PREA policy as described in indicator a) supports that all allegations are referred for investigation. The Auditor reviewed also the facility's PREA response plan which requires the immediate notification of investigators upon reports of sexual abuse. The Auditor also spoke with Facility and an SIU representative about how they get notified and respond to cases.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections has put into place policies that support the expectations of the standards. The language is reiterated in several policies that further support the commitment to investigate all claims of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, and/or retaliation. The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program staff and probationers have been educated on reporting expectations and that all claims, no matter the source, will be investigated. Probationers and staff interviewed supported an understanding of confidentiality, its importance in the investigative process, and the limitations of confidentiality in a medical or mental health setting. The Auditor finds the facility to be compliant with all aspects of this standard. The Auditor's interviews supported a staff that is well trained in the expectations of the standard. Absent an allegation, compliance was based on policy and interviews.

115.262	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act

OP 720.2 Medical Screening, Classification, and Levels of Care

OP 940.4 Community Corrections Alternative Program.

Memo of no reported incidents

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Director of VA Department of Corrections

Superintendent

Random Staff

Summary determination.

Indicator a). The Department of Corrections has at its resources several options to ensure the safety of a probationer who is at imminent risk of sexual abuse. Policies set expectations. "When a staff member, volunteer, or contractor learns that an offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, the individual must notify their supervisor or the Officer-in-Charge (OIC) so that immediate action can be taken to protect the offender." (OP038.3). An allegation of imminent risk requires, "the QMHP will immediately consult with the Facility Unit Head or designee and recommend housing interventions or other immediate action to protect an offender when it is determined that the offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, or is considered at risk for additional sexual victimization." The expectation of reporting is also covered in the healthcare policy OP730.2, "The Psychology Associate will immediately consult with the Facility Unit Head or designee and recommend housing interventions or other immediate action to protect an inmate when it is determined that the inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, or is considered at risk for additional sexual victimization ... "The agency's policy OP 940.4 Community Corrections Alternative Program supports a planned process for reviewing the alleged concern. "Probationers/parolees who are subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, or are considered to be at risk for additional sexual victimization must be referred to the Psychology Associate who will immediately consult with the Facility Unit Head or designee and recommend housing interventions or other immediate action to protect the probationer/parolee." Random staff interviewed noted the responsibility to keep a probationer safe from potential abusers until the investigative team can arrive to further review the situation. The facility Superintendent confirmed there were no cases of imminent risk in the past year. As noted previously, the facility can recommend a negative discharge if a probationer shows any sexual aggression.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections has in place both policy and appropriate resources to keep safe individuals at imminent risk of sexual abuse. As outlined in indicator a) several policies direct steps to be taken to protect such individuals from sexual abuse. The Director and the Superintendent support the expectation is the response will be immediate upon learning of any Probationer at imminent risk. The SMCCAP does not use special management units. It does have temporary holding cells to put aggressive individuals in until transportation can be arranged. The Superintendent confirmed the ability to remove aggressors from the facility. Though SMCCAP has not had to use this process for imminent risk individuals, the Superintendent is confident in his ability to maintain the safety of a probationer. The policies and Interviews completed supported the ability of Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program staff to respond to imminent risk claims of sexual abuse. The Auditor finds the standard has been met based on these factors.

115.263	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit
	Memo confirming no reports to or from other institutions
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interview with PREA Coordinator
	Interview with Superintendent
	Summary determination.
	Indicator a). The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program administration, PREA Compliance Manager, and Investigator all are aware that

Probationers who report abuse at prior institutions will have the complaint forwarded by the Superintendent to the previous facility's head. VA DOC PREA Policy OP 038.3 (page 9) states the following: "Any staff member, volunteer, or contractor, who receives an allegation that an offender was sexually abused while confined at another facility, must notify the Organizational Unit Head.

i. The Organizational Unit Head or designee will notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred.

ii. Notification must be provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation.

iii. The Organizational Unit Head or designee must document that it provided such notification."

The Auditor confirmed through interviews with the above individuals that if current SMCCAP Probationers claimed abuse occurring in another facility (including ones outside the control of the DOC) the facility will be notified to allow an appropriate investigation to occur. The Regional PREA Analyst also confirmed the DOC PREA/ADA unit would also be notified. The Auditor was provided information that in the past 12 months there were no such cases.

Indicator b). As noted in Indicator a) the Virginia Department of Correction policy requires notification within 72 hours after the facility became aware of the alleged crime. The Superintendent of Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program was aware of the timeframe and the expectation required of him to notify the leadership of the facility where the crime is alleged to have occurred.

Indicator c). The reported practice is that phone call notifications are followed up with email notifications and appropriate documentation to support any investigation. The Superintendent confirmed that this would be his process if a Probationer was to report abuse at a past institution.

Indicator d). In Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (page 9) the DOC sets forth the requirement of the initiation of an investigation if the Superintendent receives an allegation from another institution. "The facility head or agency office that receives the notification is responsible for ensuring that the allegation is investigated in accordance with the requirements of the Prison Rape Elimination Act National Standards." The Superintendent of Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is aware of this requirement. There has been no such allegation made or received that required the Investigators to be notified. Interviews with these individuals support that their response would be immediate. Compliance Determination:

The Auditor finds the facility is compliant with the standard's expectations. The Superintendent and the DOC Director were clear on their commitment to ensuring each probationer's allegations are to be thoroughly investigated. The Superintendent was aware of notifications' timeliness, as were other facility leaders. Since no probationer in the population reported abuse at another institution or a former probationer made abuse claims at SMCCAP, the Auditor had to make his determination based on policy and interviews.

115.264	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	OP 075.1 Emergency Operations Plan
	PREA Training Materials
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Random Staff
	Medical Staff
	Summary Determinations:
	Indicator a). The PREA policy OP 038.3 of the Virginia Department of Correction sets forth the expectations for staff who are first on the scene of a reported sexual assault. The policy states, "Facility Staff Responsibilities
	1. Upon learning of an allegation that an offender was sexually assaulted or abused, the first security a staff member to respond to the report will be required to:
	a. Separate the alleged victim and abuser to ensure the victim's safety.
	b. Notify the OIC and preserve and protect the crime scene until appropriate steps

can be taken to collect any evidence and.

c. Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, showering, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence

d. Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, showering, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence.

e. If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, the responder will be required to ensure the victim's safety, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence such as showering, eating, brushing teeth, or drinking until after evidence collection, and notify the OIC."

Interviews with random staff supported they were trained in the expectations of the first responder duties. The staff was able to provide steps they would take consistent with the policy statement above and the training materials reviewed as part of 115.131. The Auditor also reviewed the Emergency Operations policy OP 075.1, which uses the same language as stated above. No security staff had to respond to a sexual assault incident in the past year.

Indicator b). Interviews with Case management staff, Vocational staff, and Medical staff confirm they were aware of how to protect evidence and act as a first responder. DOC trains all staff in the facility on the expectation of the first responder. Non-security staff and contracted staff are provided the same training that the DOC staff go to annually. Training records and their ability to state the first responder's duties support an understanding of how to protect the Probationer and the evidence. No non-security staff has to respond to a sexual assault allegation.

Compliance Determination:

The facility did not have any custody staff available who had acted as a first responder to an incident of a sexual abuse case in the past 12 months. The random staff interviewed support they have an understanding of the facility's efforts to protect Probationers who allege sexual abuse, protect evidence, and provide quick access to medical and mental health care. The medical staff was aware of the protocol to protect evidence on Probationers until a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner can see them. All Staff also knew the importance of thorough documentation of the incidents and maintaining confidentiality about the incident except for those staff needed to ensure care and support the investigative process. The Auditor based the determination of compliance on the policy in place, the documents supporting the process, and the interviews with staff.

115.265	Coordinated response
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program PREA Response Plan
	The VA DOC PREA Response Checklist
	OP 075.1 Emergency Operations Plan
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Superintendent
	Major
	PREA Coordinator
	Sergeants and Lieutenants
	Summary determination.
	Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Correction has put language into both the agency's Emergency Plan policy and its PREA policy. Each policy requires the agency's correctional facilities to have an operational plan that defines individuals' role in the institution in responding to a sexual assault incident. Policy OP 038.3 states, "Each Facility Unit Head or designee will develop a written plan to coordinate the actions taken staff by first responders, medical practitioners, Mental Health

states, "Each Facility Unit Head or designee will develop a written plan to coordinate the actions taken staff by first responders, medical practitioners, Mental Health Clinicians, investigators, and facility leadership in response to a sexual abuse incident; see Sexual Assault Response Checklist 038_F6" The PREA policy and the agency PREA response checklist provide facilities direction in the development of a plan. The Auditor reviewed the 7-page plan, which discusses the roles of the first responder, the responding supervisor, and the investigators. Medical and Mental Health staff would be called from SMCCAP if they are not on site. The procedure also requires notification by the shift Commander to the Administrator on Duty, the Investigator, and the PREA Compliance Manager. The document also states when the Superintendent and the PREA Coordinator's Office are to be notified. The stepby-step plan provides staff with direction during the crisis and, when accompanied by the response checklist, allows for a thorough and consistent response to a sexual assault incident. The supervisory staff knew of the steps to be taken, and that they

are to use the checklis Compliance Determina	
Compliance Determination	st to ensure all aspects of the plan have been enacted.
	ation:
Corrections Alternative plan provides direction assault, which provide while ensuring the effe The plan is available t and PREA Compliance levels of the facility le PREA/ADA office. Abse	wed the policies and the Stafford Men's Community e Program PREA Response Plan to determine compliance. The n for a consistent multi-discipline response to the sexual es for the probationer victim's medical and emotional health ort protects evidence that could lead to a criminal conviction. o supervisory staff and interviews with the Superintendent Manager support swift communication occurs between all adership and quick notification and support from the agency's ent an incident, interviews, policies, and the documents the facility is compliant with standard expectations.

115.266	Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Virginia Code §40.1
	OP 135.1 Standard of Conduct
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interview with Superintendent
	Interview with PREA Coordinator
	Interview with random staff
	Summary Determination;
	Indicator a). The Auditor was provided information from the DOC Operations Manager supporting that there is no collective bargaining. The documentation quotes state law " Virginia Code §40.1 - 57.2 prohibits state, county, and

municipalities from collective bargaining or entering into a collective bargaining contract with a union with respect to any matter relating to an agency or their employment service.". To further support the Department of Correction's ability to protect the Probationer victim from an alleged staff abuser, the Auditor reviewed OP 135.1 Standards of Conduct. In this policy, the DOC sets forth the ability to place an employee on administrative leave during an investigation. "Pre-Disciplinary Leave - Leave with pay to be used when disciplinary action is being considered and the employee's removal from the workplace is necessary or prudent because their continued presence
(i)may be harmful to the employee, other employees, Probationer/probationer/ parolees; (ii)makes it impossible for the DOC to conduct business;
(iii)may hamper an internal investigation into their alleged misconduct;
(iv)may hamper an investigation being conducted by law enforcement; or
(v)may constitute negligence in regard to the agency's duties to the public or other employees."
The Auditor was also informed that agency policy ensures staff in sexual harassment cases will be moved during the claims investigation. The SMCCAP has not had to place an employee on administrative leave during an investigation. The Superintendent confirmed his capacity to remove staff, contractors, or volunteers during an investigation of misconduct.
Indicator b). The Auditor is not required to review this provision.
Compliance Determination:
The Auditor has confirmed the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program does not have any collective bargaining elements that would prevent the removal of a staff person from contact with an alleged victim of sexual abuse. The Auditor has determined the facility is compliant with the standard expectations. This conclusion was based on the VA. State Code, DOC policy supporting separation of victims from alleged staff, and interview with facility and agency leadership.

115.267	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy - 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act

Policy - 075.7 Critical Incident Peer Support Team

Policy - 135.2 Rules Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders

Retaliation Monitoring forms for staff and Probationers

Memo confirming no instances of monitoring.

Individuals interviewed/ observations.

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interview with Major

Summary determination.

Indicator a). The Virginia DOC PREA policy OP 038.3 states, "All staff and offenders who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations will be protected from retaliation by other offenders or staff." The policy language ensures a process for protecting those who report or participate in an investigation of a PREA incident. The policy identifies the individual responsible for monitoring these individuals at a facility level. The policy states, "For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the PREA Compliance Manager or other designated facility staff will monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders and staff who reported sexual abuse or cooperated with a sexual abuse investigation, and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff and will act promptly to remedy any such retaliation." The Auditor confirmed with the PREA Compliance Manager, the Major, and the Superintendent as the individuals responsible for monitoring Probationers and staff at Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program.

Indicator b). Virginia DOC policy OP 038.3 defines the different steps that should be implemented to ensure the safety of victims or individuals who cooperate in the investigation. "Multiple measures are available to protect staff and offenders from retaliation; such measures include housing changes or transfers for offender victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or offender abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for offenders and staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations." Interview with agency and facility leadership confirms the agency's commitment to ensuring client safety who file a PREA complaint. The Auditor confirmed with individuals that the PREA Compliance Manager and the Major come to the units. The Auditor was able not able to review any monitoring as there have been no incidents.

Indicator c). Consistent with the standard expectation, the DOC policy requires monitoring to be for at least 90 days. The Policy states, "For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the PREA Compliance Manager or other designated facility staff will monitor the conduct and treatment of offenders and staff who reported sexual abuse or cooperated with a sexual abuse investigation and of offenders who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by offenders or staff and will act promptly to remedy any such retaliation.

a. Items to be monitored include any offender disciplinary reports, housing or program changes, or negative performance reviews or reassignments of staff.

b. The PREA Compliance Manager must continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need.

2. In the case of offenders, such monitoring will also include periodic status checks."

The Auditor confirmed with the Major the requirements of this indicator. The supporting documentation in the retaliation monitoring forms shows that the monitoring should continue for periods of at least 90 days unless the Probationer had left the facility.

Indicator d). As noted in indicator c) the monitoring will include periodic status checks. Interviews with the facility PREA Compliance Manager and the Major confirm they would meet individuals regularly and offer to arrange mental health services even if the victim had initially refused such support. The Major described the other elements to look at as symptoms of retaliation.

Indicator e). As noted in indicator b), the protection measures would include steps taken to protect staff who cooperate in an investigation on PREA. The Agency policy OP 075.7 Critical Incident Peer Support Team defines additional staff supports available to staff. The Policy states, "Employees who fear retaliation for reporting or cooperating with investigations into sexual abuse or sexual harassment and are in need of or request emotional support services should be referred to the Employee Assistance Program (EAP)." The Superintendent confirmed that he or the Major would be regularly viewing the performance and interactions of a staff who cooperated in an investigation to ensure there was no retaliation. The Major was able to describe things he would be looking for as potential retaliation symptoms. The Major's office is the housing unit building, which allows for direct supervision of staff and the ability to hear conflicts. His office also allows him to see probationers transitioning in the program and he has access to the camera systems.

Indicator f). The Auditor is not required to consider this indicator.

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor was provided with a policy that matches the standard expectations. The documentation provided showed the process described in the policy has been operationalized. Interviews with the Director of the Department of Corrections and the Superintendent support the expectation of protecting individuals from retaliation. Absent an actual case of monitoring, the Auditor considered that probationers confirmed they have routine access to the PREA Compliance Manager and the Major and support they routinely are in the facility. The Major was aware of the expectations in monitoring for retaliation. The Auditor took into consideration policies, supporting documentation, interviews with agency and facility administration, with PREA Compliance Manager and Probationers. The culmination of these factors supports compliance with the standard's expectations.

115.271	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Policy OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit
	Sexual Assault Response Plan
	Investigative matrix
	SIU and facility Investigator Trainings
	Superintendent Memo – no allegations
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with Agency PREA Coordinator
	Interview with Regional PREA Analyst

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interview with Superintendent

Interview with an Investigative Staff

Summary Determination

Indicator (a) Virginia Department of Corrections has trained law enforcement staff and as such, the agency is responsible for both criminal and administrative investigations. In policies, OP 038.3 and 030.4 the agency set forth the responsibilities of the investigative team including the need for a prompt thorough investigation of the facts and a complete report outlining the processes undertaken, the reasoning behind the findings. The policy states "All investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment shall be done promptly, thoroughly, and objectively for all allegations, including third-party and anonymous reports." The facility investigator will make an initial assessment of the situation. "Unless the facility investigator quickly and definitively determines that the allegation is unfounded, allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be referred for investigation to the DOC Special Investigations Unit who has the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations "Random staff interviewed supported they must report all claims no matter the source or if they believe the incident to have occurred. Interviews with the facility Investigator and a Special Investigative Unit (SIU) staff confirmed that all allegations are reviewed. The facility Investigator reports there has been no allegations of sexual abuse at SMCCAP in the past three years.

Indicator (b) The Virginia DOC reports they employ 19 approved criminal investigators. Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has a staff trained member who would be required to respond to sexual assault complaints to protect and collect evidence. The Major is trained and who would complete administrative investigations. The Facility Investigators and the SIU representative spoken with earlier this year confirmed that they respond immediately to allegations of sexual misconduct. The Auditor could not reviewed files with the facility Investigator since there have been no cases. He was able to described how he is noticed by the facility when an allegation occurs and the steps that are to be taken. The facility Investigator supports the process is objective and he does not enter with any preconceived notion based on an individual's position as a staff or inmate in determining the outcome. He reports he bases findings on factual information and the statements of individuals involved and witnesses. The Auditor was able to go through situational questions to get an understanding of the process consistent with the agency policy expectations. The Interview with a representative SIU investigator provided further consistency of the Department's expected investigative process.

Indicator (c) The facility Investigator has been trained on protecting and collecting evidence from a crime scene to ensure the preservation of evidence including DNA. In criminal cases, they will work with the assigned Special Investigators Unit staff. The Virginia DOC trains all line staff to preserve evidence including locking of potential crime scenes and encouraging the victim to not do anything that would potentially degrade the quality of the DNA evidence. As noted in 115.21 forensic exams of the victim would not occur at SMCCAP but at a local hospital with SANEtrained nurses. The Investigator spoken with confirmed they will interview the victim, alleged perpetrator, and witness routinely as part of the investigation. The investigation policy (030.4 page 11) states "Investigators shall gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data; shall interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses; and shall review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator." Investigators spoken with, supported they review videos and will look at past behaviors/ allegations as part of the review.

Indicator (d) The SIU investigator supports that individuals can complete compelled interviews and that they would work closely with the local prosecutor on the case. Policy 030.4 describes the expected interactions with the prosecutorial authorities (page 11). "When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the agency will conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with Commonwealth's Attorneys as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. "The SIU investigator spoke with confirmed that they will have regular contact with the local prosecutor before having a compelled interview.

Indicator (e) The investigator interviewed confirmed that there is no requirement for a victim to undergo any polygraph or other truth-telling process to proceed with an investigation. The Investigator confirmed in the discussions with the Auditor what the policy requires (030.4). "The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness will be assessed on an individual basis and will not be determined by the person's status as an inmate/probationer/parole or staff. No agency will require an inmate/ probationer/parole who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling devices as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation." Investigators discussed looking consistency of statements, and how statements compare with video evidence before considering past allegations/ incidents. They confirmed an individual's status as staff or inmate is not used to determine the validity of statements.

Indicator (f) All criminal investigations potentially can include a referral for an administrative review if the evidence supports that a staff person's actions or inactions led to an inmate-on-inmate sexual assault. Administrative investigations

into sexual harassment claims or other staff actions in sexual misconduct investigations can result in a discipline outside of termination. All administrative investigations that are completed are required to have a related investigation file which includes written or oral statements, video or other physical evidence, and the reasoning behind the conclusions reached. As the facility's investigator completes an initial assessment to determine if there were potential criminal acts they to can identify administrative concerns that would warrant further investigation. The investigator would review the staff's actions or inaction that lead to the reported abuse. Policy 030.4 sets forth the requirement of administrative investigation to assess staff actions. "Must include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse."

Indicator (g). All criminal investigations completed by the SIU investigator result in a written report as required in the agency's related policies. The Administrative investigative files would also reportedly include documentation of interviews, physical evidence, and videos or other documents reviewed as part of the investigatory process. All files would have an investigation checklist to allow tracking of information obtained. Agency policy defines expected reporting requirements for administrative investigations.

"K. Administrative investigations (§115.71[f], §115.271[f])

1. Must include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse.

2. Will be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings."

As noted there have been no allegation of sexual misconduct that required either a criminal or administrative investigation.

Indicator (h) Agency policy requires all criminal acts to be referred for criminal prosecution. Policy 030.4 Special Investigations Unit (page 11) states, "When the quality of evidence appears to support a criminal prosecution, the agency shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution. "The Policy goes on to state, "Criminal investigations will be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal will be referred for prosecution." This expectation was confirmed in the interviews with investigative staff.

Indicator (i) The Virginia Department of Correction's record retention requires a greater retention period than 5 years beyond the separation of the parties from the institution. This was confirmed through the investigator's interview. Policy O38.3 defines the requirements consistent with the standard "All sexual abuse data collected must be maintained for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise." The SMCCAP Superintendent or Major would keep all investigative files in the Department restrictive access electronic system and other supportive documents in their secured offices.

Indicator (j) Agency policy and the Investigators interviewed confirmed individuals' departure from the institution would not result in the case being closed. The investigation policy states, "The departure of the alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation." The SIU staff are trained law enforcement officers as defined by the state of Virginia, with full police authority to go outside the institution to continue to pursue information related to the case.

Indicator (k) Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Indicator (I) This indicator does not apply as noted above; the Virginia DOC has full authority to complete criminal investigations in its facilities.

Compliance Determination.

The Virginia Department of Corrections requires all incidents are investigated promptly upon notification to staff. The agency's PREA policy and Investigative policy, require prompt investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in VA DOC facilities. In determining compliance, the Auditor took into consideration many factors. The Stafford Men's Community Correctional Alternative Program and the VA DOC have sufficient and appropriately trained individuals who can complete sexual assault investigations. Virginia DOC investigates all potential sexual-related incidents as possible PREA events even if the inmates report the actions were consensual. Investigative files reviewed include cases initiated through staff reports, inmate reports, and third-party reports of potential sexual misconduct. In doing so they ensure all incidents are investigated, and evidence collected, which provides an opportunity for a reluctant victim to come forward later.

In the Auditor's interview, the investigative staff was able to identify the steps taken to gather evidence, how the credibility of the various persons involved is determined on an individual basis, and that polygraph exams would not be required for the initiation of an investigation. Consistent with policy, it was stated

investigative reports will be completed on all administrative and criminal
investigations. Compliance absent an actual investigation at SMCCAP was based on
policy and interviews.

115.272	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders
	Policy OP 940.4 Community Corrections Alternative Programs
	Memo confirming preponderance of the evidence as deciding factor
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with Investigator
	Summary determination.
	Indicator (a) Virginia DOC Policy OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct states, "A preponderance of the evidence will be adequate in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated" The facility investigator confirmed this standard. The Superintendent also confirmed the expectation for determining whether allegations of Sexual Assault or Sexual harassment occurred.
	Compliance Determination
	The SMCCAP has had no incidents in the past year that has required a criminal investigation. The only administrative investigation to occur in the year prior began the day before the site visit and had not concluded. The Department of Corrections has staff trained in the investigation of Sexual Assaults at the state correctional facilities, as noted in 115.34. Policy OP 940.4 Community Corrections Alternative Programs echoed the sighted policy language in OP135.2 that preponderance of the evidence is to be the measure by which investigations are to be substantiated. The Auditor confirmed the process for a criminal case and the process for an administrative investigation, including how determinations are made in the case.
	Compliance was based on the policies and the interview with the facility and state

115.273	Reporting to residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit
	OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Memo on no allegation
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interview with the Facility Investigator
	Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager
	Summary determination.
	Indicator (a) Virginia DOC provides notification to all Probationers on the outcome of their investigations into sexual misconduct, including sexual harassment cases. The agency policy OP 030.4 Special Investigations Unit page 11 requires "Upon completion of the investigation, SIU should report to the Facility Unit Head to inform the offender as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded." The PREA Policy has similar language, "Following an investigation into an offender's allegation that they suffered sexual abuse or sexual harassment in a DOC facility, the offender must be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, or unfounded." At Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program, the outcome of all investigations is reported to the Probationer by the investigator or the facility PREA Compliance Manager. Absent a case at SMCCAP, the Auditor took into consideration the one individual in the population who had been through an investigation at another facility.
	Indicator (b) This indicator does not apply as Virginia DOC completes criminal and administrative investigations at all DOC facilities.

Indicator (c) The policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act uses language consistent with this standard indicator to define the information that must be notified to the Probationer victim. The policy states ". "Following an offender's allegation that a staff member committed sexual abuse against the offender, the PREA Compliance Manager or investigator must subsequently inform the offender whenever:

i. The allegation has been determined to be unfounded

ii. The allegation has been determined to be unsubstantiated

iii. The staff member is no longer posted within the offender's unit

iv. The staff member is no longer employed at the facility

v. The DOC learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility

vi. The DOC learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility."

The Auditor confirmed there were no required notifications made to Probationers at Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative from incidents of sexual misconduct.

Indicator (d) The policy language in OP 038.3 covers the required notification for a probationer-on-probationer sexual abuse cases. "Following an offender's allegation that they have been sexually abused by another offender, the PREA Compliance Manager or Investigator must subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever:

i. The allegation has been determined to be unfounded

ii. The allegation has been determined to be unsubstantiated

iii. The DOC learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility

iv. The DOC learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility"

By practice, the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative will notify probationers in writing on the outcome of both sexual abuse cases and sexual harassment cases. The Virginia Department of Corrections has form letters for each outcome. The Facility PREA compliance Manager was aware of the need to ensure notifications on any indictment or conviction.

Indicator e). The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative would provide each probationer with a written letter on the outcome of their investigation.
Compliance Determination: The Virginia Department of Corrections has put in place policy and practice to ensure all allegations are investigated. The Agency requires written notification of the investigation outcomes, if the allegation includes staff, if they are in no contact position or no longer at the facility, and substantiated cases of criminal conduct if the perpetrator was indicted or convicted. The Auditor finds the facility in compliance with the standard based on policy, documentation, and interviews. SMCCAP has had no cases, so there were no case files to review.

115.276	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 135.1 Standards of Conduct
	OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders
	Memo confirming no discipline
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with Human Resources
	Interview with Superintendent
	Interview with Investigator
	Summary determination.
	Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Correction has policies that govern staff conduct and sanctions for violation. OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct governing Employee Relationships with Offenders (page 5) states: "Sexual misconduct will be treated as a Group III offense subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination under Operating Procedure 135.1, Standards of Conduct." As the Auditor has

learned, Group III violations are considered the most severe offenses. Human Resource staff and the Department of Corrections Director confirmed that staff can be terminated for such actions without having to go through progressive levels of discipline.

Indicator b). The DOC policy OP 135.2 states, "Termination will be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for employees who have engaged in sexual abuse." As noted in indicator a), the Auditor confirmed with the Human Resources staff that employees would be terminated for engaging in the sexual abuse of a probationer. There were no incidents of staff being terminated in the last 18 months from Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program for sexual abuse of a probationer. The policy confirms staff who engage in sexual acts with probationers will be charged with a felony in addition to the termination.

Indicator c). The Virginia Department of Correction policy OP 135.2 states, "Disciplinary sanctions for violations of DOC policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories." The Superintendent reported there were no incidents of staff who have been disciplined for sexual harassment of probationers. Policy 135.2 goes on to describe in detail prohibitions on fraternization or nonprofessional association with current or former Probationers.

Indicator d). Virginia Policy OP135.2 states, "All terminations for violations of VA DOC sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, are reported to law enforcement agencies. All terminations for violations of DOC sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff that would have been terminated if not for their resignation, must be reported to any relevant licensing bodies by the DOC PREA Coordinator and to law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal." As noted in 115.71 the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has access to a criminal investigator who is considered law enforcement in the state of Virginia with full powers of arrest. The SIU Agent confirmed the power to pursue the investigation outside the institution if a probationer has been released or if a staff person quits before being terminated. The facility administration confirmed that staff or contractors who have licenses would have the misconduct reported to the governing body responsible for their licenses.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections has the appropriate resources to fully

investigate staff sexual misconduct and apply discipline when deemed warranted.
The agency has the ability to terminate staff for first offenses of sexual abuse of
Probationers. Policies in place and interviews with the Human Resource staff, the
Criminal Investigator, and the Superintendent were used to determine compliance.
Since the facility has not disciplined a staff, there was no file to review.

115.277	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	OP 027.1 Volunteer and Internship Program
	OP 135.2 Rules of Conduct Governing Employee Relationships with Offenders
	Memos from the Superintendent
	Contractor and Volunteer Orientation
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interview with Investigator
	Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
	Interviews with Contractors/Volunteers
	Summary determination.
	Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Corrections has trained contractors and volunteers on the consequences of engaging in sexual abuse or sexual harassment of an inmate. Interviews completed with both contractors and volunteers support they were aware of the standard of conduct including that individuals who engage in such misconduct can be immediately barred from access to the institution and may be referred for criminal prosecution based on the type of misconduct. Agency policies OP 027.1 and OP 135.2 state, "Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse of Inmates must be prohibited from contact with Inmates and must be reported to any relevant licensing bodies by the DOC REEA Coordinator, and law
	reported to any relevant licensing bodies by the DOC PREA Coordinator, and law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal. The Department of Corrections will take appropriate remedial measures and will consider whether to

prohibit further contact with offenders, in the case of any other violation of DOC sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer. The Investigator confirmed as noted in 115.71 if the contractor or volunteer is a licensed professional the governing body would be notified. In the past 12 months, no contractors or volunteers were reported to law enforcement for engaging in sexual abuse of inmates. Memos from the Superintendent support there have been no sexual abuse cases at SMCCAP.

Indicator b). As noted in indicator a) non-criminal violations of the agency's standard of conduct would have to be reviewed by facility management before allowing the individual to regain access to the facility. Policy on volunteer and interns OP 027.1 (page 12) stated "In the event of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a volunteer the facility shall take appropriate remedial measures and shall consider whether to prohibit further contact with offenders." There have been no allegations against any contractor or volunteer in the past 18 months that would require. The Superintendent would review the investigation to determine if identified violations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment policy (outside sexual abuse) required the individual to not have access to inmates. In the interview, the Superintendent confirmed the ability to halt access to the facility for those who violate expectations.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections has sufficient policies to ensure if a victim or contractor engages in sexual misconduct the case will be investigated, the inmate will be protected by halting the alleged perpetrator's access to the facility, and notifications to the appropriate licensing bodies. Policy language also informs the individuals about criminal charges that may result from sexual misconduct. The facility staff is aware of the importance of removing alleged abusers from access to the victim. Supporting the information provided, the Auditor took into consideration the training and interviews with contractors and volunteers who were aware of the consequence of engaging in sexual harassment or sexual abuse of inmates. The individuals the Auditor spoke with understood that individuals could be banned from access and risk prosecution based on the type of misconduct engaged in. Compliance absent a discipline case is based on policy and interviews.

115.278	Disciplinary sanctions for residents
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act

Policy OP 820.1 Probationer Case Management

Policy OP 861.1 Offender Discipline, Institutions and Operating Procedures

Policy OP 940.4 Community Corrections Alternative Programs

Probationer SMCCAP Handbook

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Interview with the Regional PREA Analyst

Interview with the Superintendent

Interview with the PREA Compliance Manager

Summary determination.

Indicator a). The Virginia Department of Corrections allows for the discipline of Probationers who engage in sexual misconduct as defined by the agency. OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act states, "Sexual harassment, assault, and abuse by incarcerated offenders is prohibited and subject to disciplinary action per Operating Procedure 861.1, Offender Discipline, Institutions, and Operating Procedure 940.4, Community Corrections Alternative Program, and may result in criminal charges." The Auditor also reviewed policy 861.1 to confirm that sexual assault is considered one of the most serious charges a Probationer can have in the facility. The policy, on page 18, clarifies that discipline is separate from the criminal case against them for such actions. "The offender disciplinary process, as described in this procedure, is administrative process that is separate and independent from the criminal justice system. An offender may be held accountable for a violation of the Code of Offenses through this disciplinary process and may also be criminally prosecuted for the same offense." All Probationers complete as part of orientation a review of the Discipline policy of Virginia DOC for, which they must sign. The information on the code of conduct (pages 28-32) of the SMCCAP Probationer Handbook ensures they have access to information about expectations and potential consequences for sexual misconduct. There were zero cases of Probationers being disciplined for any form of sexual misconduct.

Indicator b). Virginia DOC expects probationer discipline to be consistent to the

severity of the allegation and be similar to other Probationers with similar histories. Policy OP 861.1 Offender Discipline, Institutions, and Operating Procedures states, "In determining the appropriate penalty, consideration shall be given to the nature and circumstances of the offense committed, the offender's disciplinary history, and the penalty imposed for comparable offenses committed by other offenders with similar histories." There was no discipline of Probationers at SMCCAP to review in the past year.

Indicator c). Two Policies address the indicator's concerns. In policy OP 861.1 it defines steps required to be taken if the Probationer who is the potential subject of discipline, had a mental disability or illness. The policy defines the steps the committee must take before having a disciplinary hearing. Actions include having the probationer's case reviewed by a Qualified Mental Health Professional (QMHP) who can provide a clinical impression of the client, the ability to understand their actions or the hearing process, and how actions such as specialized housing may impact their lives and their institutional stay. Policy OP 940.4 Community Confinement Programs, further addresses mental health in determining appropriate sanctions. "Consideration must be given to whether a mental disability or mental illness contributed to the probationer's/parolee's behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed." The Superintendent confirmed that mental health input would be sought for individuals with mental health or cognitive concerns. Most sexual activity can cause a probationer to be removed from the program as a Category 1 offense. The SMCCAP does not use Specialized Housing Units as a consequence for discipline. The facility has cells that can be used for temporary emergency holding while awaiting transportation, but logs of the area confirm they are not used. During the pandemic, they provided an option for medical isolation but were also determined to not be the best solution for the facility and were discontinued for that purpose.

Indicator d). Clients at SMCCAP can receive individualized counseling on the underlying causes of their sexual misconduct. The facility does not have a specific program for sexual offenders, as the program is a Community Confinement Program. If individuals commit sexual offenses at SMCCAP the likely outcome would be discharged or transferred to a higher level facility.

Indicator e) Agency policy does not allow for the discipline of Probationers who engage in sexual contact with a staff member unless it is proven the staff did not consent.

Indicator f) Virginia DOC PREA policy OP 038.3 defines when a probationer can and cannot be disciplined for filing a PREA complaint in bad faith. The policy states, "

Any offender who makes a report of offender-on-offender sexual violence or staff sexual misconduct or harassment that is determined to be false may be charged with a disciplinary offense if it is determined in consultation with the Regional PREA Analyst that the report was made in bad faith. Offenders will not be charged for reports of sexual abuse made in good faith, based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred. Even if an investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation, reports of sexual abuse made in good faith will not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying." There have been no cases in the last year. Agency policy (OP 940.4) describes the process to ensure only those reports purposefully filed in bad faith are disciplined. "For the purpose of disciplinary action, a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred must not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. The facility may discipline a probationer/parolee for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse only where the facility demonstrates that the probationer/parolee filed the grievance in bad faith. The facility may discipline a probationer/parolee for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact."

Indicator g) Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program does not allow consensual sexual contact between Probationers. Probationers spoken with understood that such behavior might result in disciplinary actions. Policy OP 038.3, the agency PREA policy, states, "Consensual sexual activity among offenders is prohibited. Offenders who engage in this type of activity will be subject to disciplinary action in accordance with Operating Procedure 861.1 Offender Discipline". Any sexual activity at the SMCCAP will result in serious discipline, including consensual activities between probationers. This is outlined for the probationers in their handbook. The Handbook does clarify that the discipline code does not apply to any sexual act with an employee.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections and the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program have in place systems for holding individuals accountable for sexual misconduct. The policies require the disciplinary committee to consider factors on the Probationer's mental health and cognitive capacities. The facility had no incidents in the last year that resulted in a formal discipline for the Auditor to review. The agency staff interview and policy language support the use of discipline around false reporting of PREA incidents is done cautiously to not impact the overall population's willingness to report incidents. All probationers are educated about the agency discipline codes at admission. Compliance determination was based on interviews, policies, and supporting documents reviewed.

115.282	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy - OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Policy -OP 075.1 Emergency Operations Plans
	Policy – OP 720.4 Co-Payment for Healthcare
	Policy – OP 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment Care
	Policy - OP 730.2 MHS Screening Assessment and & Classification
	Sexual assault checklist
	Documentation of follow-up services.
	Memo from Superintendent
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interviews with Medical professionals
	Interview with Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner
	Interviews with First Responders
	Information Provided by IANF
	Indicator Summary Determination
	Indicator (a) The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has a full-service medical clinic that operates daily. Registered Nurses are available 8 hours per day and there is after-hours availability of on-call medical and mental health practitioners. The services are diverse and consistent with community health clinics. Inmates report access to these services if they are in crisis. Medical staff report having medical autonomy if the inmate must go out of the building for

emergency services to facilitate that trip. The medical staff states the facility administration is supportive of the work they do, and they work to resolve issues when they arise. In the event of a sexual assault, inmates at SMCCAP would go to a Mary Washington Healthcare facility which has SANE-trained nurses and availability of support from local rape crisis agencies. The Virginia DOC policy OP 720.7 Emergency Equipment and Care set forth the requirement for access to care for victims of sexual abuse. "Offender victims of sexual abuse will receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment; see DOC Nursing Evaluation Tools -Sexual Assault.' Documentation support no allegations have occurred of sexual abuse.

Indicator (b) Medical services are available 24 hours per day at the Stafford Hospital or Mary Washington Health Care in Fredericksburg. Random staff knew as part of their first responder duties, that immediate notification to medical was required. This is also stated in the facility's Sexual Assault Response plan. DOC policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act (page 10) states "If there are no qualified medical or mental health practitioners on duty at the time a report of sexual assault or sexual abuse is made, the OIC must immediately notify the facilities designated medical and mental health practitioner." An interview with the medical staff confirmed that if medical staff is not on-site they will be contacted. There is a on call system for medical and mental health practitioners.

Indicator (c) Discussions with both Hospital staff and facility medical staff confirmed that sexual assault victims would be offered prophylaxis medications and STD testing. The Auditor confirmed the same medications would be offered to the inmate again upon return from a forensic exam even if they initially denied it. Medical staff confirmed they would educate the inmate on the importance of such medications for continued health.

Indicator (d) The Auditor confirmed that medical services related to sexual assault victims are provided without cost. Policy OP 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment and Care (page 8) states "Treatment services will be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident". The clinic at Stafford Men's Community Correction Alternative Program would function as the aftercare by providing follow-up care medically and ensuring mental health services are offered.

Compliance Determination:

Virginia Department of Corrections can quickly respond to and provide emergency care and referral to a local hospital for forensic services. Each DOC facility's

response plan for PREA incidents outlines the steps taken to ensure access to care. The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has on-site medical nursing staff 24 hours per day. The facility also has on-call providers that can help to facilitate the referral to an outside medical provider. Health Service will follow the requirements as outlined in several policies. The Auditor confirmed SAFE or SANE capabilities are available at the Stafford Hospital Emergency Room (3 miles) or at the main hospital, Mary Washington Hospital (approximately 16 miles away) in Fredericksburg VA. As part of the audit process, the Auditor spoke to a hospital representative to confirm the access to SANEs and the services provided to victims of sexual assault. There is no financial cost to any inmate in DOC this was confirmed not only with hospital staff but with an inmate who was taken out for a forensic exam. The hospital staff confirmed they follow the protocols of the International Association of Forensic Nurses which support they offer victims HIV testing, prophylaxis treatments for STDs, and emergency contraception if the inmate was female. Compliance determination took into consideration the access to services in the community and the facility, and Virginia DOC policies, information from the interviews completed.

115.283	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy – 720.4 Co-Payment for Health Care Services
	Policy – 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment and Care
	Policy – 730.2 MHS Screening Assessment and Care
	State Website
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interviews with Medical Professionals
	Interviews with Mental Health Professionals
	Interview with SANE
	Interviews with Rape Crisis Service Providers

Indicator Summary Determination

Indicator (a) The Virginia Department of Corrections ensures that all inmates are provided with the appropriate level of medical and mental health services for any issues of sexual abuse. Health Care Services staff will provide the appropriate level of care depending on how long ago the abuse occurred. If the incident has occurred recently the inmate will be offered a forensic exam through the Mary Washington Healthcare system. If the incident is a prior life event that occurred in another institution or in the community the medical and mental health teams will complete a health assessment and mental health referral for services. If the inmate is more comfortable discussing the abuse with a rape crisis agency staff person a mental health referral can be made to Action Alliance to provide the appropriate level of supportive counseling. Virginia DOC Policy 720.7 states, "The facility will offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all offenders who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility." The facility also provided medical and mental health cases on residents who were involved in allegations of sexual misconduct. Mental Health services are mostly done remotely for residents at SMCCAP but would reportedly come on site for incidents of sexual abuse.

Indicator (b) Inmates who are victims of sexual assault in a Virginia correctional institution are immediately referred to mental health services as well as medical services. Even if the assault occurred in the community or at a county jail; the inmate, once identified, is referred to mental health staff for follow-up services. If the inmate prefers, they can be referred to Action Alliance for support services post an incident of sexual misconduct. The Medical and Mental Health staff spoken to confirmed, as did the Action Alliance representative, that they would make referrals to ensure continuity of care if the inmate were released home or transferred to another facility. As Action Alliance is Richmond based they would identify a Rape Crisis Agency near the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program to provide the appropriate support services. The Auditor spoke with the local rape crisis organization who confirmed their ability to provide support to victims of sexual abuse.

Indicator (c) As noted in indicator (a) the medical clinic at the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is equivalent to an urban community medical clinic. The facility offers a full array of medical and mental health services. The facility provides mental health services including counseling, medication management, and when needed, the extra support of the mental health unit or direct observation room in the clinic space. The supportive care for victims of sexual abuse is equivalent to the community level. Indicator (d) The Indicator does not apply as Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is an all-male institution. Agency policy covers expected services for female inmates post incidents of sexual abuse.

Indicator (e) The Indicator does not apply as Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program is an all-male institution. Agency policy covers expected services for female inmates post incidents of sexual abuse.

Indicator (f) The Auditor confirmed with both, the medical staff at SMCCAP and the representatives of the local hospital, that victims of sexual assault are offered testing for sexually transmitted diseases. This testing is provided free of charge consistent with agency policy. The Auditor was provided information that no inmates required any follow-up services for possible sexually transmitted diseases.

Indicator (g) Treatment services are provided to victims of sexual abuse without cost to the inmate including if the inmate must go out for a forensic exam. Policy OP 720.7 Emergency Medical Equipment and Care (page 9) states "Treatment services will be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident". A review of state websites also confirmed that SANE exam cost are covered un the Virginia Criminal Injury Compensation Fund.

Indicator (h) All individuals involved in a sexual assault, both the victim and perpetrator, are referred for mental health assessments if the individual chooses not to speak to healthcare staff they can also be referred to the local rape crisis agency or Action Alliance. Action Alliance can coordinate phone support for victims and work with the facility and the nearest rape crisis organization (Rappahannock Council against Sexual Abuse) to be able to provide on-site support.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections ensures inmates have ongoing access to services. The DOC has several policies that address the healthcare needs of inmates including services available to victims of sexual abuse. The Auditor reviewed the policies and found several references that address standard indicators along with information from the PREA policies. DOC health services providers would provide follow-up medical and mental health services for victims of sexual assault or perpetrators of sexual offenses. Health Care would ensure that all medical needs and follow-up treatment would be provided after an initial referral to a Mary Washington Healthcare system hospital for a forensic exam. Medical staff confirmed that they could educate inmates about the importance of testing and prophylactic

based on policy consistent with the sta	e treatments at the hospital. Compliance is andard, the resources available on-site and
identified hospital, the interviews with	medical and mental health staff as well as
interviews with representatives of Acti	on Alliance, and Rappahannock Council
Against Sexual Abuse.	

Г

115.286	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy – 038.1 Reporting Serious and Unusual Incidents
	Policy – 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act.
	PREA Incident Review Form
	Memo confirming no allegations required a review.
	Individuals interviewed/ observations.
	Interviews with Incident Review Member
	Interview with PREA Compliance Manager
	Interviews with DOC Director
	Interview with facility Superintendent
	Summary Determination
	Indicator (a) Virginia Department of Corrections policy OP 038.1 Reporting Serious and Unusual Incidents (pages 10-12) sets forth the requirement of an incident review on all cases of sexual misconduct unless the investigation has determined the allegation was unfounded. The policy states, "A sexual abuse incident review shall be conducted at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded." The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program reported 0 cases in the 12 previous months. The agency policy requires that both sexual abuse and sexual harassment claims to go through the

multidisciplinary review process. The Auditor reviewed with senior leadership, including the Superintendent, the various things this standard requires to be considered.

Indicator (b) The policy OP 038.1 states the review should occur within 14 days of the investigation conclusion. The PREA Compliance Manager and the Superintendent were both aware of the timing requirements of this indicator. Absent an actual case the Auditor had to assess compliance based on policy and senior staff knowledge of the content and timeliness of the review. The VA DOC policy requirement exceeds the standard's timeliness expectations. There were no incidents to review to confirm operations consistent with the policy.

Indicator (c) DOC policy language addresses the multi-discipline nature of the team. It states, "The Review Team should consist of at least 2 DOC employees designated by the Unit Head. The Review Team shall consist of at least one Administrative Duty Officer who will solicit input from the PREA Compliance Manager, line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners for all sexual abuse and harassment incident reviews" The Superintendent confirmed the multi-disciplinary team would include the Superintendent, the Major, The PREA Compliance Manager, and included both medical and mental health staff.

Indicator (d) The Virginia Department of Corrections as a post-incident review process for PREA events. The agency has a form that addresses the part of this indicator and has policy language. The elements described in this indicator are all covered in policy OP 038.1. which states, "a. Provide a brief summary of the incident; clarify the original Incident Report or Internal Incident Report, as needed b. Provide an analysis of the causal factors and contributing circumstances

i. Was the incident or allegation motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation, or was it motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility.

ii. Assess the adequacy of staffing in that area during different shifts.

iii. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.

c. Determine what can be done to limit the occurrence or reduce the severity of future incidents; consider whether there was a proper application of current procedure, practice, staffing and/ or training; or whether there is a need to revise the current procedure, practice, staffing, and/ or training.

d. Develop an Action Plan to limit or mitigate similar future incidents. The unit shall

implement the recommendations for improvement or shall document its reasons for not doing so."
The agency form used to document the review panel's considerations includes the required information listed above. The form reviewed showed consistent documentation of information supporting or denying the abuse was based on the elements listed above.
Indicator (e) Interviews with the Superintendent, The PREA Coordinator, the PREA Compliance Manager, and the PREA Analyst support that there are systems in place to ensure the information obtained in the review can be used to make changes in the facility. Absent a case there were no suggested improvements to review.
Compliance Determination
The Virginia DOC policy requires the completion of the steps outlined in this standard. The policy outlines the steps to provide for a critical incident review on all PREA sexual assault cases. The policy requires what information needs to be part of the incident review with language directly from the standard. The Auditor's interaction with other staff supports when safety issues are identified at SMCCAP; they will make procedural or staffing changes in addition to the technology investments. The agency PREA Incident review form asks for documentation consistent with the topics consistent with (d). According to the Superintendent, the review team would include a multi-disciplinary team of management, custody, and medical and mental health services staff. Compliance was determined based on policy language, the documentation provided, and staff understanding of the requirements.

115.287	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy – OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Agency annual report
	Bureau of Justice Survey

Individuals interviewed/ observations made.

Interviews with PREA Coordinator

Interview with PREA Compliance Manager

Interviews with the Director of the Department of Corrections

Indicator Summary Determination

Indicator (a) The agency collects data consistent with the policy definitions which were developed to be consistent with the standard. Policy OP 038.3 states "The DOC collects accurate, uniform data on every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The agency aggregates the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually." The Auditor was provided a copy of the state's past PREA annual reports and which shows consistent information is provided from each of Virginia's facilities. The Director confirmed that data is used to improve the agency's ongoing effort to protect, detect, and respond to incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Indicator (b) The agency completes an annual report with aggregate data from the Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program. The Auditor was able to see the data from 2014 to 2022. The Auditor reviewed the agency's annual report which is published on the state website.

Indicator (c) The Auditor was able to confirm the various elements of the Survey of Sexual Violence are maintained and could be used to complete the report if requested by the Department of Justice. There has not been a request by the Department of Justice for a Survey of Sexual Violence report for the Stafford Mens Community Corrections Alternative Program in the past year. Interviews with both the facility PREA Compliance Manager and the state PREA Coordinator confirmed the elements required were tracked. The Auditor also took into consideration information reviewed in investigatory files and Incident tracking reports and the examples of surveys of sexual violence completed between 2014 and 2022.

Indicator (d) The agency has rules on the retention of records at all DOC facilities. Copies of criminal files involving inmate-on-inmate contact will be retained locally with a copy to the agency PREA Coordinator. The PREA Coordinator would receive all incident outcomes and ensure data accuracy.

Indicator (e) The Department of Correction receives data from the GEO group

contracted facility with whom they subcontract. Agency policy states "Incidentbased and aggregated data is collected from every private facility with which with the DOC contracts for the confinement of offenders". A review of the annual report document includes information on PREA cases at the GEO Group-run facility.

Indicator (f) The Department of Justice has not requested PREA-related information from the Virginia DOC in the past year.

Compliance Determination:

The Auditor has found the standard to be compliant The Virginia DOC has a system in place for collecting uniform data that could be used to complete the Survey of Sexual Violence. The Virginia Department of Corrections annual PREA report outlines the efforts including data for each of Virginia's DOC's facilities. The agency policy OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act commits the agency to comply with the data collection requirement of the standard. The Director of the DOC stated his commitment to utilizing data in the agency's ongoing efforts to prevent sexual misconduct. Interviews with the Director, the PREA Coordinator, The PREA Compliance Monitor, and information from the PREA Analyst support a system to collect uniform data. The Auditor took into consideration the interviews and the various documents that support data are collected and used at a statewide and facility level.

115.288	Data review for corrective action
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy – OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	VA DOC Annual PREA Report
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interview with the Director of the Department of Corrections
	Interview with Superintendent

Interview with PREA Coordinator

Interview with PREA Analyst

Indicator Summary Determination

Indicator (a)The Virginia Department of Corrections utilizes both data related to PREA incidents and data related to other critical safety incidents to determine program improvements. The department's central office staff and the facility's administrative teams review critical incidents with an eye toward improving safety. Interviews with the Superintendent and the Director of the Department of Corrections support critical analysis occurs not only at the facility level but also at a system level. Though there have been no sexual abuse allegations the Superintendent confirmed his team looks for trends for all incidents to guide policy, procedural practices, and the disbursement of resources. The Director of the Department of Corrections reports the agency is data-driven and employs teams to assess and evaluate information that can be shared back with the facilities.

Indicator (b) The Virginia Department of Corrections annual report has a comparison by each facility on the number of sexual assault and sexual harassment claims. Data compares the current year to the prior year's data and included the one contracted facility. The report shows if the accused was a staff or an inmate and provided the outcome determination. The report goes on to also track PREA-related improvements across its facilities. The report also reviews the number of complaints that have been reported through the state hotline through the PREA/ADA unit.

Indicator (c) The Director of the Department of Corrections confirms the PREA report developed by the agency PREA Coordinator is approved by him before being placed on the agency's website. OP 038.3 states "The report must be approved by the PREA/ADA Supervisor and the Director and made readily available to the public through the DOC Public website."

Indicator (d) The DOC removes all identifiers from summary reports. The Auditor was able to review several documented reports on PREA that show cumulative data without utilizing identifiers.

Compliance Determination:

The Virginia Department of Corrections meets the requirements of this standard in policy OP 038.3 (pages 14-15) defines the use of data. The Director and the Superintendent supported they both utilize data to make informed decisions on

programmatic and policy needs. This is consistent with the standard expectation to do a critical review of data to identify problem areas and enact corrective actions. The PREA Coordinator and her team of analysts can identify trends that can be reviewed and support change at either the facility level or system level. The agency also showed compliance with PREA standards through the publishing of its annual reports that combines data, graphs, and narrative information on Virginia efforts since 2014 in the development of PREA-safe facilities. The report highlights each facility and tracks trends of incidents without identifying information.

115.289	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.
	Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire
	Policy - OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Policy – OP 050.1 Inmate Record Management
	PREA Annual Report
	VACORIS
	Individuals interviewed/ observations made.
	Interviews with PREA Coordinator
	Interview with PREA Analyst
	Interviews with PREA Compliance Manager
	Interviews with Investigators
	Interviews with Screening staff
	Indicator Summary Determination
	Indicator (a) The Virginia Department of Corrections has policies that protect the security of information. Policy OP 038.3 the PREA policy states "All data collected on allegations of sexual abuse at DOC facilities must be securely retained." Policy OP 050.1 Inmate Records Management governs the establishment, utilization, content, privacy, secure placement, preservation, and security of Inmate records; the

dissemination of information from these records, and instructions for retiring or destroying inactive records. Discussions with the PREA Coordinator, the individual who completes screenings, the Investigator, and screening staff describe layers of controls in place to ensure no unnecessary disclosure. The Investigative team for Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program has secure offices between the Gym and the visiting area. Final reports are also filed with the PREA Office. Criminal Case files would not be held on-site but would be maintained by the Special Investigation Unit (SIU).
Indicator (b) The Virginia Department of Corrections ensures the information related to PREA incidents and the agency's efforts to support a zero-tolerance culture are published in an annual report available on the agency website. The annual report describes the agency and facility's efforts to create and maintain PREA-safe environments. The website also includes information on PREA incidents at the contracted facility. A review of the state's website supports that the annual reports are all publish dating back to 2014.
Indicator (c) The annual report located on the state's website does not include any identifiers.
Indicator (d) Policy OP 038.3 sets forth the obligations of the agency's PREA Coordinator including the responsibility for collecting all incidents. The policy states "All sexual abuse data collected must be maintained for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise." Virginia DOC Policies OP 050.1 and OP 025.1 define controls and record retention. The Agency PREA Coordinator is aware that all PREA-related Data be maintained for a period of no less than 10 years.
Compliance Determination:
The Standard is compliant, the Auditor based this conclusion on the review of the agency policy and procedures, observations, and information obtained through the various staff interviews and review of documentation at the facility and on the agency website.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire

Policy - OP 038.3 Prison Rape Elimination Act

Virginia DOC Website

Individuals interviewed/ observations.

Interviews with PREA Coordinator

Interview with PREA Analyst

Interviews with PREA Compliance Manager

Tour of SMCCAP

Indicator Summary Determination

Indicator (a) The Virginia DOC has several of its 42 facilities audited in a year. The Agency has Audits scheduled across all three years of the current audit cycle. A review of the auditor bid document and the agency website confirms that PREA audits have been completed consistently since the inception of PREA audit. The State has one current contracted facility for beds which underwent its PREA audit in 2022.

Indicator (b) This is year one of the Audit cycle and from information provided and found on the agency website at least one-third of the facilities will have a PREA Audit completed.

Indicator (h) The Auditor did have open access to all parts of the facility. The Auditor was able to move freely about the housing units on the tour to be able to speak informally with inmates and staff to ensure they were aware of the Audit. The Auditor was able to ask about the agency's efforts to educate inmates, and how to seek assistance if the need arises. The Auditor review physical spaces inside the secure perimeter and buildings outside the facility's fence line.

Indicator (i) The Virginia Department of Correction provided the Auditor with electronic PREA auditing files in the Online Audit System. The Auditor, Facility Leadership, the PREA Coordinator, and the Regional PREA Analyst had Zoom meetings to review material and set up information the Auditor would like to review

on-site. The Auditor was also able to get copies of other documentation as requested on-site. The Agency provided materials in an organized manner in the Online Audit System. The Auditor worked closely with the PREA Analyst to ensure documentation needed was added to the OAS and get clarification on information in the documentation.
Indicator (m) The Auditor was able to interview inmates throughout the facility in private spaces. The space provided was appropriate to allow the Auditor and the inmate to speak freely without others being able to hear our conversations. The Auditor did not need to use translation services for any resident at SMCCAP. The Auditor also interviewed custody staff across both shifts as well as other positions in the facility.
Indicator (n) The Auditor did not receive confidential mailings from inmates, staff, or other interested parties. The Auditor's information was posted, and the facility PREA Compliance Manager was informed the posting should remain up until the final report is issued. During the onsite visit, the Auditor made it clear that individuals who request to be seen would add to the random sampling of staff and inmates to be interviewed.
Compliance Determination:
The Virginia Department of Corrections has had PREA audits of each of its 42 facilities since 2014. The DOC has spread its facility audits over the three-year PREA cycle and have set up strong deadlines when contracting for new beds to be PREA compliant including undergoing formal audits. The Auditor was given full access to the prison and was not prohibited from returning to areas of the facility if requested. The Auditor was provided ample space and privacy to conduct confidential interviews with staff and inmates. The Auditor was able to test critical functions in the facility and was provided access the translation services but there were no LEP Probationers to interview. The facility did post the Audit notice, it was visible on the tour and inmates were aware of the posting and the audit. Compliance is based on the above-mentioned facts which support a culture in which PREA is monitored daily.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	Policies and written/electronic documentation reviewed.

Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program Pre-Audit Questionnaire VA Department of Corrections Website Individuals interviewed/ observations made. Interview with PREA Coordinator Indicator Summary Determination Indicator: (f) The Virginia Department of Corrections website has all the previous PREA Audits posted. This was determined through a review of the state's DOC Website. The DOC has published all PREA reports dating back to the agency's first PREA Audits in 2014. The Stafford Men's Community Corrections Alternative Program's prior PREA Audit reports were viewed on the state's website. **Compliance Determination:** The Virginia Department of Correction website has all previous facility PREA Audits posted under its PREA information link. The Auditor's prior experience with the agency allows first-hand knowledge of the prompt uploading of these documents. The Auditor also took into consideration that the Agency PREA Coordinator was also aware of the timing requirement for the posting of the audit report.

Appendix: Provision Findings			
115.211 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
115.211 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassmer coordinator	nt; PREA	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes	
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes	
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its community confinement facilities?	yes	
115.212 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f residents	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	yes	
115.212 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f residents	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	yes	
115.212 (c)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f residents	
	If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in	yes	

	-	
	emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)	
	In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)	yes
115.213 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.213 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (NA if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
115.213 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing	yes

	staffing patterns?	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels?	yes
115.215 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
115.215 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat- down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
115.215 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents?	yes
115.215 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	- -
	Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non- medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower,	yes

	perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non- medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing?	yes
115.215 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?	yes
	If the resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
115.215 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
115.216 (a)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limi English proficient	ted
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes

115.216 (b)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are lim English proficient	ited
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes

	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.216 (c)	Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	yes
115.217 (a)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above ?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of	yes

	force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above ?	yes
115.217 (b)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.217 (c)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
115.217 (d)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
115.217 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.217	Hiring and promotion decisions	

(f)		
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.217 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.217 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.218 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technology	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.218 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technology	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the	na

	agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated any video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	
115.221 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.221 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.221 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	1	1
	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.221 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.221 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.221 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	na
115.221 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above).	na

115.222 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.222 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations	
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.222 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).)	na
115.231 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with	yes

	residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to	yes
	mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	
115.231 (b)	mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? Employee training	
		yes
	Employee training Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the	yes yes
	Employee training Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses	
(b) 115.231	Employee training Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?	
(b) 115.231	Employee trainingIs such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?Employee trainingHave all current employees who may have contact with residents	yes
(b) 115.231	Employee training Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa? Employee training Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and	yes yes

	does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	
115.231 (d)	Employee training	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
115.232 (a)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.232 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes
115.232 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.233 (a)	Resident education	
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

T	1
During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
Resident education	
Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility?	yes
Resident education	
Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf?	yes
Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
Resident education	
Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes
Resident education	
In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
Specialized training: Investigations	
In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent	yes
	rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? Resident education Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? Resident education Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? Resident education Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? Resident education Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? Resident education In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to resid

	the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	
115.234 (b)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
115.234 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
115.235 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes

	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.235 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.)	na
115.235 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.235		
(d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
(d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	yes

	and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	
115.241 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents?	yes
	Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents?	yes
115.241 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.241 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
115.241 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated?	yes

]
	Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
115.241 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
115.241 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional,	yes
	relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	

115.241 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
115.241 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs $(d)(1)$, $(d)(7)$, $(d)(8)$, or $(d)(9)$ of this section?	yes
115.241 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	yes
115.242 (a)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes

	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
115.242 (b)	Use of screening information	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident?	yes
115.242 (c)	Use of screening information	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes
115.242 (d)	Use of screening information	
	Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
115.242 (e)	Use of screening information	
	Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?	yes
115.242	Use of screening information	

(f)		
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
115.251 (a)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
115.251 (b)	Resident reporting	

	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
115.251 (c)	Resident reporting	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.251 (d)	Resident reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	yes
115.252 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
115.252 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding	yes
	an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	

	with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
115.252 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: a resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party files such a request on behalf	yes

]
	of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
115.252 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to	yes

	alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
115.253 (a)	Resident access to outside confidential support servio	ces
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.253 (b)	Resident access to outside confidential support servio	ces
	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.253 (c)	Resident access to outside confidential support servio	ces
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service	yes
	providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	
	providers that are able to provide residents with confidential	yes
115.254 (a)	providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation	yes
	providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
	providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Third party reporting Has the agency established a method to receive third-party	
	 providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? Third party reporting Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report 	yes

	information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
115.261 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.261 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.261 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.261 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes

115.262 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes
115.263 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.263 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
115.263 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.263 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.264 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate,	yes

	washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.264 (b)	Staff first responder duties	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.265 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
115.266 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect residents from conta abusers	act with
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.267 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes

	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.267 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.267 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency:4. Monitor resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes?	yes

	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignment of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.267 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.267 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
		•
115.271 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
_	Criminal and administrative agency investigations When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
_	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative	yes yes
_	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR	
(a)	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	
(a)	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) Criminal and administrative agency investigations Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse	yes

115.271	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.271 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
115.271 (f)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
115.271 (e)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
115.271 (d)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	

(h)		
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.271 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.271 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.271 (l)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
115.272 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigation	S
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.273 (a)	Reporting to residents	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
115.273 (b)	Reporting to residents	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency	na

	request the relevant information from the investigative agency in	
	order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	
115.273 (c)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.273 (d)	Reporting to residents	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform	yes

115.277 (a)	Relevant licensing bodies? Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to:	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
115.276 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.276 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.276 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.276 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.273 (e)	Reporting to residents	
	the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.277 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes
115.278 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.278 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
115.278 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.278 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a	yes

	condition of access to programming and other benefits?	
115.278 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.278 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
115.278 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for residents	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes
115.282 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.282 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
115.282 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information	yes

	about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	
115.282 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.283 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	ouse
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.283 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	ouse
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.283 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	ouse
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.283 (d)		
	health services consistent with the community level of care? Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al	
	health services consistent with the community level of care? Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific	na

	information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy- related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all- male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	
115.283 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	buse
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.283 (g)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual al victims and abusers	buse
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.283 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes
115.286 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.286 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.286 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes

115.286 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.286 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
115.287 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.287 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.287	Data collection	

(c)		
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.287 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.287 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.)	yes
115.287 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na
115.288 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes

115.288 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.288 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.288 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.289 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?	yes
115.289 (b)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.289 (c)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
115.289 (d)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes

115.401 (a)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the	yes

	same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	
115.403 (f)	Audit contents and findings	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes