I. PURPOSE

This operating procedure establishes protocols governing the security level assignment of offenders within Department of Corrections institutions.

II. COMPLIANCE

This operating procedure applies to all units operated by the Department of Corrections (DOC). Practices and procedures shall comply with applicable State and Federal laws and regulations, Board of Corrections policies and regulations, ACA standards, PREA standards, and DOC directives and operating procedures.

III. DEFINITIONS

Acceptability - The level of acceptance of the offender by the community; specifically, the length of sentence and nature of the crime may preclude the offender from being approved. Acceptability can be assessed using such documents as the Pre-Sentence Investigation, and other documentation related to expressed community sentiment.

Annual Review - A uniform yearly review of an offender's classification, needs and objectives; the Initial Classification Date (ICD) is used to establish the review date for an offender received on or after February 1, 2006. The Custody Responsibility Date (CRD) is used to establish the review date for an offender received prior to February 1, 2006.

Central Classification Services (CCS) - Staff members from the Offender Management Services Unit who review certain recommendations made by the Institutional Classification Authority and Multi-Disciplinary Team to render a final decision regarding offender status and assignments.

Eligibility - The utilization of objective, measurable standards or criteria to determine an offender’s program status (transfer, security level, program placement, etc.)

Increase in Security Level - A security level status change which increases the amount of physical restraint and supervision required, i.e. higher security level number.

Initial Classification Date (ICD) - The date on which the offender was initially assigned to a Security Level

Institutional Classification Authority (ICA) - The facility staff person designated to conduct offender case review hearings

Reduction in Security Level - A security level status change which decreases the amount of physical restraint and supervision required, i.e. lower security level number.

Security Level - A measure of the degree of physical restraint and supervision that is required to maintain adequate control over an offender to prevent escapes, to minimize risk of staff and offender injury, and to maintain orderly facility operations while providing for the safety of the general public.

Suitability - A reasoned, professional judgment regarding an offender’s ability to perform in a certain security level or facility environment; it calls for a discerning judgment relative to length of sentence, crime, prior record, as well as sociological, medical, and psychological considerations. Suitability differs with each individual offender depending upon the offender’s facility, parole eligibility, Mandatory Parole Release Date or Good Time Release Date.
VACORIS - The computer-based Virginia Department of Corrections offender information management system

IV. PROCEDURE

A. Security Level Classification

1. Classification of offenders into appropriate security levels and assignment to facilities equipped to provide appropriate security enhances public, staff, and offender safety by ensuring that each offender receives the appropriate level of control and management while reducing the operating cost of the DOC by ensuring that offenders are assigned to the least restrictive security level necessary and not subjected to excessive control and management. (4-4295; 2-CO-4B-01)

2. Security Levels in current usage are: (4-4296)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Levels</th>
<th>Specialty Designations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Minimum</td>
<td>U - Unassigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Moderate</td>
<td>D - Hearing Impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Medium</td>
<td>M - Secure Mental Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Close</td>
<td>P - Protective Custody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - Maximum</td>
<td>T - Transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Security Level S Step-down</td>
<td>X - Death Row</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W - Work Center</td>
<td>S - Segregation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The security level classification system provides for annual reviews of security level to provide the opportunity for systematic decrease in supervision and corresponding increase in offender responsibility allowing the offender to benefit from additional programmatic, educational, and work opportunities in preparation for re-entry. (4-4296; 4-4300; 2-CO-4B-01)

4. Classification decisions involve the assessment of each case based on a determination of eligibility, suitability, and acceptability.
   a. An offender’s eligibility for a specific security level will be determined by use of an approved scoring instrument.
   b. Mandatory restrictors and discretionary overrides may be used in determining suitability and/or acceptability in individual cases.
   c. This system provides an accurate assessment of security needs at the various facilities, and provides efficiency in matching offenders to existing programs.

5. Authority to assign offender security levels is vested with Central Classification Services (CCS) but may be delegated in accordance with this operating procedure.

6. The Director of Corrections, through CCS, has the authority to assign any offender to any institution deemed appropriate to facilitate effective bed management and maintain orderly operations without an ICA hearing.

7. CCS will continuously monitor and evaluate the security level classification system to determine whether it is meeting DOC needs to properly match offenders with available institution bed space based on security level assignments. (4-4295)

8. All initial and reclassification security level assignments and changes will be documented in VACORIS and reported using the Institutional Classification Authority Hearing Report generated in VACORIS.

9. The Classification Assessment must be completed in VACORIS prior to the initial security level classification and reviewed and updated as necessary for any subsequent security level or annual reviews.

B. Eligibility Criteria
1. Initial eligibility for specific Security Levels will be determined using the Initial Security Level Score Sheet in VACORIS for offenders receiving their first classification since entering the DOC.

2. Eligibility for reclassification into specific Security Levels will be determined using the Reclassification Security Level Score Sheet in VACORIS.

3. The Security Level Scoring Guide (see Addendum 1) provides guidance in completing the Security Level Score Sheets (see Attachment 1 and Attachment 2 for work sheets). The Severity of Offense Scale (see Attachment 3) and Disciplinary Report Severity Scale (see Attachment 4) provide information for those data items.

4. Point score ranges for each Security Level are as follows:
   a. Male Offenders
      | Scored Security Level | Scored Security Level |
      |-----------------------|-----------------------|
      | To + 9 points..........Level 1 | 26-31 points..........Level 4 |
      | 10-16 points............Level 2 | 32+ points.............Level 5 |
      | 17-25 points............Level 3 |
   b. Female Offenders
      | Scored Security Level | Scored Security Level |
      |-----------------------|-----------------------|
      | To + 12 points.........Level 1 | 18+ points............Level 3 |
      | 13-17 points............Level 2 |
   c. Security Level W is based on eligibility criteria and is not subject to the point score ranges.

C. Determining Suitability and Acceptability

1. In addition to the objective score, decision makers must weigh information in the Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI), institutional adjustment, nature of the offense(s), time to serve, and other factors affecting the level of risk an offender may pose to institutional order or to the community.

2. Mandatory Restrictors must be invoked if the overall score does not adequately reflect the level of risk the offender will present in a reduced security level.
   a. Any offender who scores within any security level may have their security level assignment changed on the basis of the Mandatory Restrictors listed below which relate to an offender's suitability for a particular security level.
   b. The applicability of the Mandatory Restrictors should be reviewed prior to any consideration given to invoking a Discretionary Override.
   c. If the total score places an offender at or above the level required by a Mandatory Restrictor, no Mandatory Restrictor should be invoked.
   d. Multiple Mandatory Restrictors should not be invoked. If more than one Mandatory Restrictor applies, the institution should invoke the restrictor that requires assignment to the highest security level.
   e. If a Mandatory Restrictor is applicable, but the institutional administration determines that the offender’s security level assignment should be a higher level than indicated by the Mandatory Restrictor, no restrictor should be invoked. Instead, the appropriate Discretionary Override (see below) should be used to provide a more specific reason for the assignment to a higher security level.
   f. A Mandatory Restrictor and a Discretionary Override may not both be used on the same review.
   g. Recommendations for restrictors should be selected in VACORIS as R- (number of restrictor).
   h. Mandatory Restrictors assign an offender to a higher security level than is indicated by score:
      i. R-1- Time -
         More than 20 years remaining to serve - includes life, multiple life, and life+ sentences - restrict from assignment to Security Levels W, 1 & 2.
Life, multiple life, and life+ sentences must have served 20 consecutive years on sentence to be eligible for assignment to Security Level 3. Additionally, if parole eligible, must also have reached Parole Eligibility Date. Numerical sentences must have served 20 consecutive years OR be within 40 years of their projected release date. NOTE: Offenders assigned to Security Level 3 under previous criteria that do not meet the current criteria can remain at a Security Level 3, as long as the offender remains at their current institution. Offenders that are transferred will need to meet the current criteria.

ii. R-2 - Offenses (current or prior) - 1st, 2nd Degree Murder, Violent Sexual Offenses, Kidnapping, Abduction, Felony Escape in the past 15 years - restricted from assignment to Security Levels W & 1

iii. R-3 - Institutional Adjustment - Offense code 100-108 infractions within past 24 months - restricted from Security Levels W, 1, 2, and 3

iv. R-4 - Detainers - (ICE, Felony- Detainers, Non-Detainer Holds, Judgment & Commitments) - Restricted from Security Levels W & 1

v. R-5 - Assignment Criteria - Scored level not supported by institutional assignment criteria

i. The Facility Unit Head or designee may request in writing to CCS that the Mandatory Restrictor be overridden for the purpose of assigning an offender to a lower security level than that which the Restrictor indicates. CCS will review such requests; however, the authority to override a Mandatory Restrictor rests with the Chief of Corrections Operations or designee.

3. Discretionary overrides may be invoked if it has been determined the offender's score does not adequately reflect the level of risk the offender may present in a reduced or higher security level. Any offender who scores within any security level may have their security level assignment changed on the basis of one of the approved overrides listed below which relate to an offender's suitability for a particular security level.

a. Discretionary Overrides should not be used if a Mandatory Restrictor has been invoked.

b. Multiple Discretionary Overrides should not be invoked. In those cases where more than one discretionary override applies, the institution should invoke the applicable override which best reflects the need for the change in a security level.

c. Discretionary Overrides may assign an offender to a HIGHER security level than indicated by the score due to:
   i. H-1 - Assaultive prior facility conduct
   ii. H-2 - Serious prior criminal record indicates caution
   iii. H-3 - Severity of current offense
   iv. H-4 - Serious escape history/risk
   v. H-5 - Recent pattern of poor facility adjustment
   vi. H-6 - Needs to establish stable adjustment in a general population and/or at recommended security level prior to consideration for a lower level
   vii. H-7 - Other

d. A LOWER security level than indicated by the score may be assigned due to:
   i. L-1 - Exceptional facility conduct
   ii. L-2 - Singular nature of incident
   iii. L-3 - Prior success at lower level
   iv. L-4 - Other

e. Recommendations for discretionary overrides should be selected in VACORIS as H- (number of override), or L- (number of override). Explanations shall be included in the Comments section when an H-7 or L-4 override is invoked. Offenders requiring an override of two levels or more require approval from the Manager of Classification Services or designee.

D. Initial Classification Assignments

1. Security Level “U” should be data entered upon receipt of any new offender received or parole violator
utilizing the date the offender was received.

2. Unless otherwise noted, initial security level assignments will be determined by the score indicated on the *Initial Security Level Score Sheet*, and restrictors or overrides if appropriate.

3. Initial security level and institution assignments for new offenders received and parole violators will be made by the Institutional Classification Authority with approval of the Facility Unit Head or designee upon recommendation from treatment/security staff at the Reception Center. In some cases, CCS will make the final decision on security level and institution assignment. Note: Assignment to Security Level S requires a formal ICA hearing and approval by the Facility Unit Head of the primary maximum security institution (currently Red Onion) and the appropriate Regional Operations Chief or designee (Regional Administrator) per the *Segregation Qualifiers - Security Level S* section of this operating procedure.

4. CCS will be responsible for the final classification action on the below listed types of cases upon recommendation from the Institutional Classification Authority (ICA). These offenders will be identified by both CCS and the Reception Centers.
   a. Ex-Law Enforcement Officials
   b. Ex-Public Officials
   c. Notorious Offenders
   d. Mental Health Unit Referrals
   e. Cases with unusual circumstances

5. Reception Center Institutional Classification Authority - The Reception Center Facility Unit Head or authorized designee will have the final authority on all New Offenders Received cases except those listed above. The Institutional Classification Authority hearing process will be in accordance with Operating Procedure 830.1, *Institution Classification Management*. CCS will monitor these decisions as to propriety and productivity.

6. Parole Violator Institutional Classification Authority - Upon completion of a revocation hearing, offenders will be classified. The Reception Center Facility Unit Head or authorized designee will have the final authority on all Parole Violator cases except those listed above.
   a. Out-of-state parole violators should be returned to a Reception Classification Center for their preliminary hearing, following which they may be transferred to another institution for revocation hearing and classification.
   b. In all cases, following revocation hearing the offender will be maintained as if they were assigned Security Level 5 until scored on the *Initial Security Level Score Sheet*. For data entry purposes, prior to their initial classification assignment, unclassified offenders’ security level will be entered as “U” on the database to reflect their “unclassified” status.

7. Any offender sentenced to Death will be assigned directly to Death Row and assigned the designated point score of “99” points for initial classification. No reclassification will be completed. For data entry purposes, Death Row offenders’ security level will be entered as “X” on the database to reflect their Death Row status.
   a. Offenders removed from Death Row by commutation of sentence will be reviewed and assigned to the appropriate security level.
   b. The assigned institution will complete the *Reclassification Security Level Score Sheet* and submit to CCS in VACORIS for approval to establish the appropriate security level.

E. Reclassification Reviews and Assignments

1. Unless otherwise noted, changes in an offender's security level will be determined by the score indicated on the *Reclassification Security Level Score Sheet* and restrictors or overrides if appropriate. Regardless of the score, all security level assignments must be made using the good judgment, experience, and expertise of the decision maker.
2. The security level score and status of each offender will be reviewed during the Annual Review (See Operating Procedure 830.1, Institution Classification Management).

3. Interim Reclassification Security Level Reviews
   a. At any time an offender’s behavior or other factors indicate that the current security level assignment may not be appropriate, the institution administration may refer the offender for a security level review by a formal or informal ICA hearing held in accordance with Operating Procedure 830.1, Institution Classification Management.
   b. CCS may administratively review the offender population for security level reductions in order to maximize the efficient use of available bed space. Facility Unit Heads may be requested to review the offender population and make recommendations for security level reductions.
   c. An interim review will not change the next Annual Review date.
   d. The reason for the review should be stated in the Comments section in the VACORIS Classification module and reported using the Institutional Classification Authority Hearing Report generated in VACORIS (see Operating Procedure 830.1, Institution Classification Management).

4. Facility Unit Heads or designee have the final authority to approve ICA recommendations which indicate the offender remains in the current security level unless such action involves the use of an override for two or more levels.
   a. The Facility Unit Head’s designee cannot review cases for which they served as the ICA chairperson.
   b. Cases may be disapproved and returned to the ICA for additional information.

5. Security Level Reductions
   a. The Facility Unit Head or designee has the authority to approve an annual review security level reduction. Assignment to Security Level W and overrides of two or more levels require approval of the Manager of CCS or designee.
   b. CCS has the final authority to approve assignment to Security Level W and any security level reduction with or without the use of restrictors and discretionary overrides.
   c. Approval for an assignment to a lower security level should generally prompt a recommendation for the transfer of the offender in accordance with Operating Procedure 830.5, Transfers, Institution Reassignments. Acceptable reasons for not recommending a transfer for an offender would be:
      i. Offender’s need for medical or psychological treatment cannot be provided at another location that is compatible to the offender’s reduced security level
      ii. Offender’s close proximity to completion of an educational/vocational or Therapeutic Community program assignment
      iii. Offender’s assignment to a cadre/work assignment as established by an approved quota due to institutional need - Note: The authority to establish a quota of Security Level 1 offenders to be housed at higher security institutions rests with the Chief of Corrections Operations.
      iv. Institution recommendations for institutional assignment should be included on the Action Details of the Classification section of VACORIS for consideration by CCS. The ICA may include the offender’s preference of assignment in the “Comments” section.

6. Security Level Increases
   a. The Facility Unit Head or designee has authority to approve an annual review security level increase except to Security Level S. Overrides of two or more levels require approval of the Manager of CCS or designee.
   b. CCS will have the final oversight over institution recommendations for security level increases with or without the use of overrides or restrictors.
   c. Except at annual review, the offender will be given proper written notification, at least 48 hours in advance of the classification hearing for the purpose of reviewing their security level status. The written notification will state the reasons for the review for possible increase in security level.
d. In accordance with Operating Procedure 830.1, *Institution Classification Management*, formal due process for an increase in the security level is not required during a general population offender’s annual review (except for assignment to Security Level S) since such reviews are considered routine and afforded to every offender; however, the offender should be present during the review process and allowed input.

e. Reassignment to a higher security level should generally necessitate a physical transfer. Institution assignment recommendations should be included on the Action Details of the Classification section of VACORIS for consideration by CCS when completing any resulting transfer order.

f. In an emergency situation, the Regional Administrator is authorized through Operating Procedure 830.5, *Transfers, Institution Reassignments*, to affect an Intra-Regional transfer to temporarily increase an offender’s security level in the absence of ICA recommendations. In such cases, a formal classification hearing should be conducted within three working days after the offender’s transfer to determine the appropriate security level.

g. In an emergency situation which results in the temporary transfer and increase in security level of an offender, it will be the receiving institution’s Facility Unit Head’s or their designee’s responsibility to ensure that the necessary classification hearing is conducted in accordance with this and other pertinent operating procedures (Operating Procedure 830.1, *Institution Classification Management*, and Operating Procedure 830.5, *Transfers, Institution Reassignments*). The sending institution will provide all necessary reports to the receiving institution to assist in the administration of this hearing.

F. Protective Custody Unit

1. Assignment of offenders to a Protective Custody Unit will be in accordance with Operating Procedure 830.5, *Transfers, Institution Reassignments*. Institution recommendations for the assignment of an offender to a Protective Custody Unit should be based on a formal ICA review and be submitted to CCS via VACORIS. CCS will have the final authority on assignment of offenders to a Protective Custody Unit. Offenders may not be placed in the Protective Custody Unit until final approval has been received from CCS.

   a. For the duration of an offender’s assignment to the Protective Custody Unit, the security level assignment will be designated as “P” for data entry purposes.

   b. During an offender’s assignment to the Protective Custody Unit, no review of the offender’s security level will be necessary.

2. Removal of offenders from a Protective Custody Unit will be in accordance with Operating Procedure 830.5, *Transfers, Institution Reassignments*.

   a. Institution recommendations for the transfer of an offender from a Protective Custody Unit should be based on a formal ICA review including completion of the Reclassification Security Level Score Sheet and be submitted to CCS via VACORIS.

   b. CCS will have the final authority to transfer offenders from a Protective Custody Unit.

G. Segregation Qualifiers - Security Level S

1. While segregation is not a scored security level, it is a type of housing reserved for special purpose bed assignment which is utilized by institutions under proper administrative process for the protective care and management of offenders. Offenders assigned to segregation status will be afforded security level reviews only as a part of the formal segregation review process in accordance with Operating Procedure 425.4, *Management of Bed and Cell Assignments* (Restricted) and Operating Procedure 861.3, *Special Housing*. Offenders assigned to segregation are ineligible to request a transfer outside the formal segregation review process.

2. The following Segregation Qualifiers indicate that the offender should be considered for assignment to Security Level S:

   S-1 - Aggravated Assault on staff
S-2 - Aggravated Assault on Offender w/weapon or Resulting in Serious Injury w/o weapon
S-3 - Not Used
S-4 - Serious Escape Risk - requiring maximum security supervision
S-5 - Commission of Crime of Exceptional Violence and/or Notoriety
S-6 - Excessive Violent Disciplinary Convictions - reflecting inability to adjust to a lower level of supervision
S-7 - Setting Fire Resulting in Injury to Persons or Extensive Damage to State Property
S-8 - Rioting resulting in Injury to Persons or Extensive Damage to State Property
S-9 - Seizing or Holding Hostages
S-10 - Possession of Firearms, Ammunition, Explosives, Weapons
S-11 - Knowingly Transferring HIV or other Disease to Another Person or Refusal to Submit to Testing
S-12 - Gang Activity Related to any Category I Offense or a Documented Gang Leadership Role
S-13 - Staff Manipulator / Predator
S-14 - Behavior that represents a threat level too great for the safety and security of a lower level institution

3. Institution recommendations for the assignment of an offender to Security Level S shall be based on a formal ICA review (including initial classification and reclassification) and be submitted via VACORIS.
   a. Initial assignment to Security Level S requires a formal ICA hearing and approval by the Facility Unit Head of the primary maximum security institution (currently Red Onion) and the appropriate Regional Operations Chief or designee (Regional Administrator)
   b. CCS will review each reclassification assignment of offenders to Security Level S.
   c. Each offender approved by CCS for reclassification to Security Level S will be reviewed by the Facility Unit Head of the primary maximum security institution (currently Red Onion State Prison) and the appropriate Regional Operations Chief or designee (Regional Administrator).

4. An offender approved by the Regional Operations Chief or designee for assignment to Security Level S will be transferred to the primary maximum security institution.

5. On arrival at the primary maximum security institution, the Security Level S offender will be assessed and evaluated for appropriate security and program assignment.

6. Selected offenders may be transferred to the secondary maximum security institution (currently Wallens Ridge State Prison) upon agreement between the two Facility Unit Heads.

7. The Institutional Classification Authority will perform periodic reviews on each Security Level S offender in accordance with Operating Procedure 425.4, Management of Bed and Cell Assignments.

8. After adequate progress in Security Level S, the ICA should recommend the offender for reduction to Security Level 6. The Facility Unit Heads at the two maximum security institutions will review each ICA recommendation for Security Level 6. The Regional Operations Chief or designee will review cases in which the two Facility Unit Heads do not agree.

9. After approval for Security Level 6, offenders will be moved to designated unit(s) in a maximum security institution for further programming and adaptation to general population housing.

10. The SLU Review Team will recommend offenders that have made adequate progress in Security Level 6 for reduction to Security Level 5. Recommendations for reduction to Security Level 5 must be reviewed by both maximum security institution Facility Unit Heads and approved by the Regional Operations Chief or designee.

11. After approval for Security Level 5, offenders will be moved to an appropriate unit in one of the maximum security institutions for further progress in general population and eventual transfer to a
lower security level institution.

12. Security Level S and Security Level 6 offenders admitted to a specialized unit such as a mental health unit or medical infirmary may have their security level reviewed when clinical staff determine that the offender needs long term mental health or medical care and/or is unlikely to have skills or a level of functioning sufficient to participate and succeed in programming to reduce their security level. The following process may be used to assign an appropriate security level.

   a. Clinical staff will determine the offender’s needs through a progressive case review and document their recommendations through an ICA hearing.
      i. Safety and security shall always be the primary consideration.
      ii. For each case, there should be clear documentation of a serious mental or physical illness.
      iii. The offender should have demonstrated an extended period of stability that is free of serious disciplinary offense convictions.
      iv. Each case will be considered on its individual merits.
      v. Clinical staff is encouraged to discuss individual cases with the Psychologist Senior at Central Classification Services before starting this process to reduce security levels.

   b. After approval of the ICA actions by the Facility Unit Head or their designee where the offender is currently being housed, the recommendation will be escalated to the Psychologist Senior at Central Classification Services for review and approval.

   c. The Psychologist Senior at CCS will consult with the Health Services Director for cases related to medical care.

   d. The Psychologist Senior at CCS will consult with the Facility Unit Head of the institution where the offender is currently housed and escalate approved cases to the Regional Operations Chief over the primary maximum security institution.

   e. With the approval of the Regional Operations Chief over the primary maximum security institution, the offender will be reduced in security level.

H. Security Level M

Offenders assigned to Security Level S who are identified as Seriously Mentally Ill will be reviewed by Step Down Program Staff to determine if the offender should be assigned to Security Level M or remain Security Level S.

I. Processing Of Escapees

1. An offender returned to DOC custody after being arrested out-of-state will be returned to an appropriate reception center i.e. Nottoway Correctional Center, Powhatan Reception and Classification Center, or Sussex I State Prison (males) or Fluvanna Correctional Center for Women (females).

2. If feasible, an offender who escapes and is arrested in Virginia may be returned to an institution within the Region after a complete review of the circumstances of the escape, and review of the criminal and social history of the offender.
   a. Those offenders who present a continued serious escape risk or high risk of violence, regardless of the circumstances of the escape, will be classified to a higher security level institution.
   b. The purpose of the escapee remaining in the Region would be to facilitate a court hearing relative to the escape.

3. After disposition of the escape charge, the offender will be reviewed by the Institutional Classification Authority and security level recommendations will be forwarded to the Facility Unit Head or designee for action.

4. An escapee who has been on escape status for an extended period may be processed back into the DOC through a reception center. Such offenders will be scored on the Initial Security Level Score Sheet.

5. If the offender is returned to a non-reception institution, reclassification will be rescored on the
Reclassification Security Level Score Sheet.

J. Documentation

1. Institutions will complete appropriate security level and due process actions in VACORIS, when needed, and escalate to the Facility Unit Head or designee for final action. Security level actions that require CCS approval will be escalated to CCS for action.

2. Facility staff shall be responsible for the printing and distribution of copies of any actions needed for the offender’s record and to be provided to the offender.

K. Offenders may appeal any classification decision through the Offender Grievance Procedure, (see Operating Procedure 866.1, Offender Grievance Procedure). Copies of the classification documents will generally serve to satisfy the informal procedure requirements of the Offender Grievance Procedure.

V. REFERENCES

Operating Procedure 425.4, Management of Bed and Cell Assignments
Operating Procedure 830.1, Institution Classification Management
Operating Procedure 830.5, Transfers, Institution Reassignments
Operating Procedure 861.3, Special Housing
Operating Procedure 866.1, Offender Grievance Procedure

VI. FORM CITATIONS

None

VII. REVIEW DATE

The office of primary responsibility shall review this operating procedure annually and re-write it no later than three years after the effective date.

The office of primary responsibility reviewed this operating procedure in April 2018 and necessary changes are being drafted.
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A. David Robinson, Chief of Corrections Operations Date